Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:					
3. To which part of the Loc	al Plan does this re	presentatio	n relate	?	
Paragraph	Policy "PS37"	Policies Map			
4. Do you consider the Loc	al Plan is :	_	<u></u>		
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes			No	Х
4.(2) Sound	Yes			No	Х
4 (3) Complies with the					
Duty to co-operate	Yes			No	

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

I DO NOT AGREE WITH THE PROPOSED SITE FOR WISLOE PS37

- Access The proposed access to the site is adjacent to our bungalow. The lane here is too
 narrow for a main entrance and is situated at the start of a dual carriageway. North bound
 traffic turning right onto the proposed site will have to pull across in front of traffic travelling
 south and will hold up the traffic behind it.
 - What impact will 1000 to 3000 more cars have as traffic is very busy now and sometimes at full capacity. Also what will the impact be on the local air pollution?
- 2) <u>Wildlife</u> The hedges and hedgerows have improved over the last 18 to 24 months and are now left to grow taller and thicker. They are very important for a large variety of birds, native plants, insects, butterflies and other wildlife. All the hedges on the proposed site should be preserved and improved to encourage the wildlife not destroyed to build more houses.
- 3) <u>Drainage</u> Recently (Christmas 2020) the ditch (proposed entrance?) adjacent to us overflowed and flooded the A38 and our garden. Flooding in the fields behind us gets worse each year. Adding 1500 houses plus parking, new roads, paths and businesses will mean even more flooding. Where will all the water go? Ponds are planned for the nature area bordering

the motorway – there are already large ponds/flooded areas here anyway after heavy rainfall. What is proposed will not be enough to cope with the extra water created due to the proposed site. The rivers Cam and Lightenbrook will flood.

- 4) Loss of Agricultural land The proposed site is the best and most versatile farm land in the Stroud district. The land is Nationally graded as grade 2, after the developers had a "survey" carried out, it has now been downgraded to 3b. How can this happen? Another survey was going to take place, has this happened and what are the results? Once built on the farming land will be lost forever. Should we not be preserving good quality land for producing food products rather than housing.
- 5) Gas Pipeline A main gas pipeline runs through the site. HSE have advised against building on the proposed Wisloe site. If there was a problem it would take too long to evacuate the site and there would be a risk of a potential explosion. Will the developers move the gas pipeline?
- 6) Transport Most people commute to work using their cars and only 1% use trains. With 1000 to 3000 more cars this is not sustainable. Already various developments have taken place over the past few years with another 900 homes about to be built in nearby Draycott. This will lead to even more traffic jams and accidents.
- 7) <u>Local Facilities</u> Are the developers willing to pay for additional facilities e.g. doctors, schools, dentist, shops and the additional strain it will have on electricity, sewerage, water supply etc. There have been recurring problems with sewerage in the village of Slimbridge over the last few years. Are the developers intending to install a new sewerage treatment works which will be needed.
- 8) <u>Coalescence</u> Going against the planning policies, Wisloe would join Slimbridge, Gossington, Cambridge, Cam and Dursley to become a huge urban area. The inhabitants of Wisloe Green would dwarf the residents now here.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

We think that PS37 should be removed as a site of allocation. There are too many problems to be overcome.					
(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)					
Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.					
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.					
7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?					
No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)					
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.					
8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:					

