From:

Sent: 23 January 2020 01:34

To: _WEB_Local Plan

Subject: Local Plan Review

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Flagged



Re: Local Plan Review, PS36 Berkeley Cluster - OBJECTION

Sir/ Madam

I OBJECT to the proposed review that creates the PS36 Berkeley Cluster/ Garden Village, with a special Objection to the vision of Sharpness Development

This planned development of such size should not be situated in Berkeley and Sharpness.

There will be a **Severe traffic impact:**

Quoting Sharpness Development LLP:

• '2000 people will travel to and from Sharpness in the one hour morning/evening peak period, with 4,700 person trips in the morning and 4,200 in the evening'

- 'we need everyone to adopt non-car based travel at peak periods'
- 'based on current trends', 24 buses are needed to access 5 destinations during the morning peak period (Presently the Bus 62 service operates between Bristol-Sharpness-Dursley 6 times each day Mon-Sat)

Local Councils fail to work with County Highways - it has been indicated that there will be no completion of the Berkeley bypass. This leaves the B4066 as the major route from Berkeley to the A38; however, with such volumes of vehicles the back lanes to Stone and Thornbury will be used for Bristol and similarly, Halmore Lane for Gloucester - totally unsuitable access .

There is no cycle path on the B4066 - it is PRESENTLY classified as category 'orange' ie 'dangerous' to cycle on.

There are presently approx 280 homes being built at CanonburyRise, Berkeley.

Any extra house demand in the Berkeley Cluster area should be confined to PS33 (120 houses, NW of Berkeley) & PS34 (300 houses, Sharpness Docks/Marina)

80% of the required housing is being proposed to be built in the south of the Stroud District Council region. Building a 'Garden Village' at Berkeley & Sharpness is poorly located to the Gloucester/Cheltenham hub that the Council wishes to enlarge.

Yours sincerely