

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph Policy Policies Map

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>
4.(2) Sound	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text" value="X"/>
4 (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes	<input type="text"/>	No	<input type="text"/>

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

1.The agricultural land in question was classified by Natural England as Grade 2, good quality and not for development. Following an alleged survey, consultants downgraded this same land to Grade 3b which would lend itself to development. If an onsite survey had been carried out then the farmer was unaware of this and the consultants failed to comment about a 350mm high pressure gas main which runs through the area, no excavation being permitted within a minimum of 50 metres either side of the pipe line. This also contradicts Stroud District Council's plan to be carbon neutral by 2030.

2.The Government's own target figures for housing development were set at just over 600 dwellings per annum for the Stroud District yet the Council have decided that 80% are to be built in the south along the A38 corridor. This means that almost 13000 dwellings are to be constructed over the next 20 years but the SDC plans indicate building in excess of 15000 new homes which begs the question as to whether Wislow Green in infact needed. It would joint Slimbridge, Cambridge, Gossington and Cam creating one big urban sprawl contrary to the SDC's own Rural Settlement Classification where Cambridge is in Tier 4 which is against the Council's own recommendations detailed in the December 2014 Settlement Role and Function Study.

3. The Cambridge and Slimbridge areas have a high water table and prone to serious flooding yet Wisloe Green with its internal roads, footways and foundations for 1500 houses together with the proposed barrier to shield the noise and air pollution from the M5 will have a significant impact by reducing the land able to absorb the higher level of surface water.

4. It has been suggested that the nearby Cam and Dursley Railway could be used for transportation, however, the peak hour trains and car park are currently oversubscribed and would be overwhelmed and there are no current plans to increase capacity. The A38 during peak hours is already running overcapacity and the possibility of a further 3000/5000 extra vehicles would create gridlock something that already happens when the M5 is closed due to an incident.

5. More favourable sites have been suggested PGP1 at Whitminster and PGP2 at Moreton Valance but Stroud District Council has rejected these two in favour of PS37 Wisloe Green without providing any evidence that a comparison was even considered.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove PS37 from the SDC Local Plan.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

