

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** \_WEB\_Planning  
**Sent:** 11 January 2019 12:18  
**To:** \_WEB\_Local Plan  
**Subject:** FW: Stroud District Emerging Strategy - comments on Cam & Dursley

**Categories:** Consultation response

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 11 January 2019 11:08  
**To:** \_WEB\_Planning  
**Subject:** Stroud District Emerging Strategy - comments on Cam & Dursley

Dear Sir or Madam,  
Here are my comments:

**PS29:** I'm sure you've had lots of emails about the plan and Dursley but I would also like to say that I strongly object to the PS29 development. Dursley's Neighbourhood Plan defined the limit of where we wanted residential building to be, but the Emerging Strategy has this in it. I appreciate your strategy was developed before the plan was voted for by the community, so now PS29 should be removed. This area is a widely used green area too, and access will be a problem. Dursley already has a big problem with traffic too (try getting through it first thing in the morning and after 2:30 pm!). Why not tag more houses onto Cam / Sharpness, where they will have the facilities and better access to major roads?

**PS27:** Access will be hard for cars and for pedestrians, the latter probably not wanting to go that far out of town anyway for shops etc. as shops that have tried on Long St have not lasted long except for hairdressers. And why do we need more shops etc. i.e. why is it to be for town centre uses? Better it's made into housing.

**PS22/PS24/PS25:** Please consider what schools, doctors, and shops will be there. The local secondary and primary schools may well be full already. The local Drs surgeries are likely to full too.

**PS21:** Houses here would need to be carefully designed to fit in with the beautiful listed Tiltdown House.

Hopefully, you'll take the above into consideration.

[REDACTED]