

Q2. Your company or organisation	Stonehouse Town Council
Q3. Your email address	deputyclerk@stonehousetowncouncil.com
Q4. Client's name (if applicable)	-
Q5. Client's company or organisation (if applicable)	-
Q6. Which cluster do you identify yourself with (i.e. live, work, visit)?	Stonehouse (Parishes of Stonehouse, Standish, Eastington, Frocester, Leonard Stanley, Kings Stanley)
Q7. NEW Core Policy DCP1 - Delivering Carbon Neutral by 2030	Support, subject to changes set out below
Comments and suggested changes:	This policy is strongly supported. Supporting provision of a new station at Bristol Road, Stonehouse in Local Plan policies such as site allocations, would be an excellent way of helping to deliver this policy by discouraging use of the private car and delivering a larger share of trips by a sustainable travel mode.
Q11. Core Policy CP5 - Environmental development principles for strategic sites	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q13. NEW Core Policy DCP2 - Supporting Older People	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q14. Core Policy CP7 - Lifetime communities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q15. Core Policy CP8 - New housing development	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q16. Core Policy CP9 - Affordable housing	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q17. Core Policy CP10 - Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q18. NEW Delivery Policy DHC1 - Meeting housing need within defined settlements	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q19. NEW Delivery Policy DHC2 - Sustainable rural communities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q20. Delivery Policy HC2 - Providing new homes above shops in our town centres	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q21. Delivery Policy HC3 - Self-build and custom build housing provision	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q22. Delivery Policy HC4 - Local housing need (exception sites)	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q23. NEW Delivery Policy DHC3 - Live-work development	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q24. Delivery Policy HC1 - Detailed criteria for new housing development	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q25. NEW Delivery Policy DHC4 - Community-led housing	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	Stonehouse Town Council supports this approach as it will help to deliver more flexible housing provision, including affordable housing which is not subject to the right to buy.
Q26. Delivery Policy HC5 - Replacement dwellings	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q27. Delivery Policy HC6 - Residential sub-division of dwellings	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q28. Delivery Policy HC7 - Annexes for dependents or carers	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-

Q29. Delivery Policy HC8 - Extensions to dwellings	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q30. NEW Delivery Policy DHC5 - Wellbeing and healthy communities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	This new policy is supported for its potential impact on the quality of life achieved on major developments
Q31. NEW Delivery Policy DHC6 - Protection of existing open spaces and built and indoor sports facilities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q32. NEW Delivery Policy DHC7 - Provision of new open space and built and indoor sports facilities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q33. Delivery Policy CP11 - New employment development	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q34. Delivery Policy CP12 - Town centres and retailing	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q35. Delivery Policy CP13 - Demand management and sustainable travel measures	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q36. Delivery Policy EI1 - Key employment sites	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q37. Delivery Policy EI2 - Regenerating existing employment sites	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q38. Delivery Policy EI2a - Former Berkeley Power Station	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q39. Delivery Policy EI4 - Development at existing employment sites in the countryside	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q40. Delivery Policy EI5 - Farm and forestry enterprise diversification	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q41. Delivery Policy EI6 - Protecting individual and village shops, public houses and other community uses	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q42. Delivery Policy EI7 - Non-retail uses in primary frontages	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q43. Delivery Policy EI8 - Non-retail uses in secondary frontages	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q44. Delivery Policy EI9 - Floorspace thresholds for Retail Impact Assessments	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q45. Delivery Policy EI10 - Provision of new tourism opportunities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q46. Delivery Policy EI11 - Providing sport, leisure, recreation and cultural facilities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q47. Delivery Policy EI12 - Promoting transport choice and accessibility	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q48. Delivery Policy DEI1 - District-wide mode-specific strategies	Support, subject to changes listed below
Comments and suggested changes:	Policy is supported but lacks adequate reference to rail transport which should play a key role in district-wide mode-specific strategies.
Q49. Delivery Policy EI14 - Provision and protection of rail stations and halts	Support, subject to changes listed below

Comments and suggested changes:	Stonehouse Town Council would like supporting text to refer to the protection of the site at Bristol Road station and to support the re-opening of Bristol Road station.
Q50. Delivery Policy EI15 - Protection of freight facilities at Sharpness Docks	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q51. Delivery Policy EI16 - Provision of public transport facilities	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q52. Delivery Policy CP14 - High quality sustainable development	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q53. Delivery Policy CP15 - A quality living and working countryside	Support, subject to changes listed below
Comments and suggested changes:	It must be clear in the policy and its supporting text that each principle listed stands alone and that the principles do not stand in a hierarchy.
Q54. Delivery Policy ES1 - Sustainable construction and design	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q55. Delivery Policy ES2 - Renewable or low carbon energy generation	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q56. NEW Delivery Policy DES3 - Heat supply	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q57. Delivery Policy ES3 - Maintaining quality of life within our environmental limits	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q58. Delivery Policy ES4 - Water resources, quality and flood risk	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q59. Delivery Policy ES5 - Air quality	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q60. NEW Delivery Policy DES1 - Conversion of redundant agricultural or forestry buildings	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q61. Delivery Policy ES6 - Providing for biodiversity and geodiversity	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q62. Delivery Policy ES7 - Landscape character	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q63. Delivery Policy ES8 - Trees, hedgerows and woodlands	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q64. Delivery Policy ES9 - Equestrian development	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q65. Delivery Policy ES10 - Valuing our historic environment and assets	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q66. Delivery Policy ES11 - Maintaining, restoring and regenerating the District's canals	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q67. Delivery Policy ES12 - Better design of places	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q68. Delivery Policy DES2 - Green Infrastructure	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-
Q69. Delivery Policy ES16 - Public art contributions	Support
Comments and suggested changes:	-

Q2. Your company or organisation	Stonehouse Town Council
Q3. Your email address	deputyclerk@stonehousetowncouncil.com
Q4. Client name (if applicable)	-
Q5. Client company or organisation (if applicable)	-
Q6. Which cluster do you identify yourself with (i.e. live, work, visit)?	Stonehouse (Parishes of Stonehouse, Standish, Eastington, Frocester, Leonard Stanley, Kings Stanley)
Q9. Section 2.2 Strategic Objectives for the future	Support, subject to changes set out below
Comments and suggested changes (Please state which Strategic Objective your comment relate to):	-
Q10. Section 2.3 An introduction to the development strategy	Support, subject to changes set out below
Comments and suggested changes (Please clearly state the	Section 2.3, p. 25-29
Q14. Section 2.7 Our town centres	Support, subject to changes set out below
Comments and suggested changes (Please clearly state the	2.7. p.40 -43

Q2. Your company or organisation	Stonehouse Town Council
Q3. Your email address	deputyclerk@stonehousetowncouncil.com
Q4. Client's name (if applicable)	-
Q5. Client's company or organisation (if applicable)	-
Q6. Which cluster do you identify yourself with (i.e. live, work, visit)?	Stonehouse (Parishes of Stonehouse, Standish, Eastington, Frocester, Leonard Stanley, Kings Stanley)
Q18. The Stonehouse Cluster - Stonehouse PS17 Magpies site, Oldends Lane	Support, subject to changes listed below
Comments and suggested changes:	<p>he site allocation at the Magpies site is strongly supported by Stonehouse Town Council as it will enable us to deliver a much needed improved and extended community hall with social facilities.</p> <p>Site boundary: The current design for the site (see planning application S.19/0474/FUL) includes an area larger than the boundary shown for PS17. It would be preferable if the site allocation matched the Town Council's proposals.</p> <p>Safeguarding land: Stonehouse Town Council suggests that there is no case for safeguarding of land for a pedestrian bridge at this site.</p> <p>Stonehouse Town Council have been advised that district councillors requested that land be safeguarded on this site for a footbridge in the draft Local Plan as there was a possibility that the developer of the proposed site at PS19a would pay for a footbridge. It seems unlikely that the developer of a site even further away from Oldends Lane than West of Stonehouse would fund a footbridge given that the developer of West of Stonehouse, a much larger site than PS19a, did not fund a bridge for viability reasons.</p> <p>Although the West of Stonehouse site was included as a site allocation in the current Local Plan, no land was safeguarded for a footbridge in the Local Plan for a footbridge near the Oldends Lane level crossing.</p> <p>Planning consent S.18/0982/FUL was granted for a development on the west side of the rail track which does not include any safeguarded land.</p> <p>Stonehouse Town Council agreed in 2016 to no longer support a footway over the railway in this location due to the difficulty of providing it. The height and length needed, as advised by Network Rail during a site meeting with Town Councillors on 11 October 2016, meant that it would have been difficult to accommodate on the site and possibly, rarely used. Network Rail advised that the land originally reserved, now partially landscaped but no longer safeguarded, on the West of Stonehouse side wasn't large enough for the size of bridge required.</p> <p>There is likely to be a preference for pedestrians and cyclists to use the level crossing at this point.</p> <p>Due to the lack of available land on both sides of the rail track, the size of bridge required and the lack of a potential funder it seems very unlikely that a footbridge will be built at this site. If the site allocation includes the requirement to safeguard land for this purpose, the proposed development will need to be completely redesigned and it is uncertain whether it would still be viable. The issue of safeguarding land for this purpose has not been raised during the planning process in relation to this site until the draft Local Plan was issued.</p>
Q19. PS19 Northwest of Stonehouse	Support, subject to changes listed below
Comments and suggested changes:	Stonehouse Town Council neither supports nor objects to this allocation. Should the allocation go ahead it will be important to ensure the site allocation policy, in line with draft policy CP5, includes conditions to build in good connectivity by providing direct, sustainable foot and cycle paths into Stonehouse and a contribution towards the cost of re-opening Bristol Road station
Q20. PS20 M5 Junction 13	Support, subject to changes listed below
Comments and suggested changes:	Stonehouse Town Council has supported this development proposal. . Should this allocation go ahead it will be important to ensure the site allocation policy, in line with draft policy CP5, includes conditions to build in good connectivity by providing direct, sustainable foot and cycle paths into Stonehouse and a contribution towards the cost of re-opening Bristol Road station.
Q. If you would like to suggest an alternative site that has not previously been considered as part of the Local Plan Review or Strategic Assessment of Land Availability (SALA) please fill in the Draft Plan Site Submission Form that can be found at <a href="http://www.stroud.gov.uk/localplanreview">www.stroud.gov.uk/localplanreview</a>	-
If you would like to suggest/ comment on an alternative site that has previously been considered as part of the Local Plan Review or Strategic Assessment of Land Availability (SALA), or if you would like to provide additional information in relation to a previously assessed site, please include the site reference number below and upload any additional information.	

Site reference/ comments:	STO006, Land at Avenue Terrace, Stonehouse should be considered for safeguarding as an amenity site for a station at Bristol Road Stonehouse to provide car and cycle parking, bus stops and shelters and electric car charging points.
---------------------------	---