Stroud District Council



DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables

Table of Contents

G1	2
G2	8
PS01	13
PS02	19
PS09	25
PS11	30
PS13	34
PS14	39
PS19a	44
PS20	49
PS25	55
PS30	60
PS33	64
PS34	69
PS36	74
PS37	81
PS43	87
PS47	93
WHI007 / WHI011	97



Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 79768 12585						
	Area	67.85Ha						
	Current land use	Agricultural						
	Proposed site use	Residential	Residential					
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable						
Existing watercourses The Shorn Brook passes through the central and watercourses Existing watercourses The Shorn Brook passes through the central and watercourses are site. The majority of the Shorn Brook is classified as however the lower reach, at the boundary of the watering designated as a Main River. The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal is adjacent to western land parcel. Two ponds lie within Herbert's Plantation, located a land parcel. This site is located approximately 350 in IDB boundary.					d as an ordinary watercourse, western land parcel, is not to the boundary of the ad at the centre of the largest			
Sources of	Flood history	The site is not located within an Environment Agency recorded flood outline, which reflects the classification of the Shorn Brook as an ordinary watercourse at this location. The following flood incidents recorded by Gloucestershire County Council are located adjacent to the site: • 11/05/2012 - Pound Lane (GL2 4RJ) – source of flooding unknown • Date unknown - Green Lane (GL2 4QA) – fluvial flooding causing internal flooding. • 16/07/2016 - B4006 Bristol Road (GL2 4RA) – source of flooding unknown				watercourse Council are g unknown g causing		
flood risk			Proportion	of site at risk in F	Flood Zones			
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 5% AEP (1 in 20)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1		
	Fluvial							



Site code		G1			
Site name		South of Hardwicke			
		Flood characteristics: The upper portion of the central land parcel is predicted to be affected during a 1 in 100 flood event, where the Shorn Brook passes through the site, with the extent of flooding extending northwards during a 1 in 1,000 event. Church Lane, which separates the central and western parcels, is predicted to flood during a 1 in 100 event. However, the lower reach of the Shorn Brook, which borders the western land parcel, has not been assessed by flood modelling and so the potential presence of a Flood Zone has not been determined. The RoFSW dataset has been used to assess fluvial flood risk in this location.			
		Prop	portion of site at risk (RoF	SW)	
		3.3% AEP (1 in 30)	1% AEP (1 in 100)	0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	
		2%	13%	15%	
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: The site is at moderate ris surface water flooding, with dispersed areas of ponding predicted to occur in points across the site. With the exception of an area of ponding against Green Lane during a 1 in rainfall event, the eastern land parcel is identified as at low risk of surface w flooding. The central land parcel is at higher risk of surface water flooding, areas of ponding predicted to occur during a 1 in 30 rainfall event in the ce of the site alongside Shorn Brook, as well as in the north and south aga Green Lane and Pound Lane, respectively. Surface water flood risk in the western land parcel is concentrated against embankment of the Stroudwater and Sharpness Canal, where ponding for during a 1 in 30 rainfall event, and extends to a continuous line during the 1,000 rainfall event. At the southern edge of the western land parcel, the Rof dataset provides a proxy for fluvial flood risk in the lower reaches of the SI Brook. The mapping indicates that flooding is predicted to remain close to channel in events up to and including the 1 in 1,000-year rainfall event.			
			to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence)		
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
		0%	0%	0%	
		The site is identified as at low risk of groundwater flooding.			
	Reservoir	The site is not identified as	s at risk from reservoir flood	ling.	
	Canal	The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal is located adjacent to the western land parce. The canal appears to be embanked in this location, and therefore the site is identified as at potential risk of flooding from the canal. The residual risk to the site, in the event of breah or overtopping of the canal, should be assessed within a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.			



Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protect	tion Co	ondition	
	201011000	There are no flood defences within the site.				
Flood risk management		Culvert / structure blockage?	The Shorn Brook is culverted beneath Chur Lane and adjacent to the Gloucester a Sharpness Canal, which may pose a risk flooding to the central land parcel, in the evon blockage. This residual risk should assessed in further detail within a site-spectral.			
infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The site is not at risk of reservoir breach.			
			Brea	ch Zone		
		Defence breach / overtopping?	The site is not identified as benefitting from flood defence. However, the residual risk of flooding to the site in the event of breach or overtopping of the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal should be assessed in further detail within a site-specific FRA.			
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by an Env Area.	The site is not covered by an Environment Agency Flood Warning or Flood Alert Area.			
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site may be accessed from five roads: B4008 Bristol Road, Green Lane, Church Lane, Pound Lane and Sticky Lane. Sticky Lane and Church Lane are identified as at risk of flooding from the Shorn Brook during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 fluvial flood events, as well as being at risk of flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event. Therefore, access via these roads is likely to be restricted during times of flood. Green Lane and Pound Lane are at low risk of fluvial flooding. However they are at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater return periods, with extensive flooding predcted to affect Pound Lane. The B4008 Bristol Road is at low risk of fluvial and surface water flooding.				
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District Central Higher Up				
	'2080s'	Severn	25%	35%	70%	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 within the swhich indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial flooding to the site. It should be noted that, due to the absence of detailer model results, the climate change extents here are represented using the 1,000 extent and therefore may be conservative in the area of land that is indicated to be affected.				



Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by the Lia Sandstone.	s Group Mudston	e, Siltstone, Limestone and		
	Superficial Geology	There are no superficial geolog	There are no superficial geology deposits recorded at the site.			
	Soils	The site is overlain by lime-rich loamy and clayey soills with impeded drain				
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	to incorporate above of benefits. Severn Trent Water her for surface water drain the vicinity of the site, watercourses may be on the site, early consumer of secure a suitable surful as one of several site recommended that are across the nearby site with Severn Trent Walleral. A high-level assessmul Level 1 SFRA suggesteatures, such as swall ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features resisting potential for the site geology is imbelimited potential for surface.	y undeveloped site, opportunities should be take e ground SuDS features, which provide multiple thas flagged parts of the site as being at high ris ainage, as there are no surface water sewers in the and connection distances into the nearest be large. If infiltration techniques are not feasible insultation with Severn Trent Water and unty Council (as LLFA) is recommended, to urface water discharge destination. It is an overarching drainage strategy is developed sites (PS30, PS31, PS32, PS43), in consultation water and Gloucestershire County Council (as ment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the ests that the site is best suited to conveyance wales and rills, or detention features, such as is must be located outside areas of fluvial flood impermeable in nature, therefore there is likely to for discharge of surface water by infiltration. tial for infiltration should be investigated within			
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed boundary.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	ce and delay the	e and volumes of surface water timing of flows entering the aylight culverts on the Shorn			
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications		



Site code	G1		
Site name	South of Hardwicke		
Cumulative impacts of development	Epney Rhyne – source to confluence with River Severn Estuary	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse

Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

• Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).

• The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate. It is recommended that a detailed hydraulic model of the Shorn Brook is carried out for the site to accurately understand the fluvial flood risk to the site, and the impact of climate change, in greater detail. The Environment Agency and LLFA should be consulted to obtain the latest hydraulic modelling information for the site at the time of preparing a flood risk assessment.

- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.
- The residual risk of flooding to the site in the event of breach or overtopping of the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal should be assessed in further detail within a site-specific FRA.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	G1
Site name	South of Hardwicke

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.
- Opportunities should be taken to de-culvert, or 'daylight' existing culverts within the site.



Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 82863 1303	7				
	Area	173.1 ha					
	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed site use	Residential					
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses	The Main River Daniel's Brook flows in a north-westerly direction through the site before becoming culverted below the railway embankment along the western boundary. In the south of the site, another ordinary watercourse for a tributary to the brook. The Whaddon Brook, an ordinary watercourse which becomes a main River downstream at Lower Tuffley, forms the northern boundary of the site.					ng the course forms urse which
	Flood history	There are no his	storical floc	d eve	nts associated wit	n the site.	
			•		site at risk in Flo		
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Z 3b 4% AE (1 in 2	ĒP	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1
			N/A		5%	2%	93%
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment A Daniel's Brook ESTRY-TUFLOW hydraulic model, although the monly in this location, with the 2D domain starting downstream of the adjacent to the site. As a result, the Flood Zones for Daniel's Brook on generalised modelling, and therefore detailed model results are not The Whaddon Brook 2009 ESTRY-TUFLOW model covers the boundary of the site. Additional detailed modelling of watercourses site is due to be undertaken, as part of a planning application for the Flood characteristics: The central portion of the site is located we Zone 3a, 3b and Flood Zone 2 and is at risk of flooding from the Date during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 events. During a 1 in 1,000 event of flooding in the north west of the site increases, as floodwaters are to pond against the railway embankment. A very small portion of the northern site boundary is at risk of flooding Whaddon Brook during a 1 in 100 event. The extent of flooding ir impact the north of the site during a 1 in 1,000 event The centre contains a "dry island", where floodwaters bypass an area of hig during a 1 in 100-year and 1 in 1,000-year event.		model is 1D- e railway line bk are based not available. the northern es within the ne site. within Flood aniel's Brook nt, the extent are predicted ding from the increases to re of the site			
			Prop	ortion	of site at risk (R	oFSW)	
	Surface Water	1 in 30			1 in 100		1,000
		5%			13%	1:	5%



Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

		T					
		Description of surface water flow paths: The surface water flood risk across the site is largely associated with the fluv flood extents of Daniel's Brook and its tributary watercourses. However, number of additional surface water flow paths are predicted to form in the sou north and east of the site during a 1 in 1,000 rainfall event, and drain into t nearest watercourse. In addition, some isolated ponding is predicted to occur natural low points within the site.				However, a in the south, drain into the	
			Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)				
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%		- Category 3 1% <75%	3 ASTG		Category 4 75%
	Orounawater	0%		0%		0	%
		The site is at low risk of occurring within the surrouflood event.					
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of res	servoir brea	ıch.			
	Canal	There are no canals within the site boundary.					
	Defences	Defence Type				Condition	
		There are no flood defences within the site.					
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage? Consideration should be given to poresidual risk posed by blockage on the reculvert at the west of the site within a specific FRA.			n the railway		
	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure? The site is not at risk of flooding of reservoir breach.		in the event			
		Defence breach /		E	Breach Zo	ne	
		overtopping?		re are no def			
	Flood warning	The site is covered by the Flood Alert Area					
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed via Stroud Road (A4173) which is alor eastern boundary of the site. This route is is intersected by surface water paths from the east during the 1 in 30 event. At higher return periods, high flooding occurs in some parts along the road. However, the road is at low flood risk and remains within Flood Zone 1. The centre of the site contains a "dry island", where floodwaters bypass are of higher ground during a 1 in 100-year and 1 in 1,000-year event. It is unthat development will be appropriate on this area of land unless appropriate.				ce water flow ods, highway at low fluvial pass an area . It is unlikely	
		provisions are made with r	-			_	Hana - E. I
Climate	Climate change allowances for	River Basin Distri	ct	Central	Highe Centra		Upper End
Change	'2080s'	Severn		25%	35%		70%



Site code	G2		
Site name	Land at Whaddon		
	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in 100 + climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 within the site, which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial flooding to the site.		
	In the north of the site, the 1 in 100 + 70% climate change extent increases beyond the extent of Flood Zone 3a (1 in 100), but to meet Flood Zone 2 (1 in 1,000).		
	It should be noted that the climate change extents for Daniel's Brook are represented using the 1 in 1,000 flood extent, as there is no detailed model coverage for this section of the watercourse, and therefore are likely to be conservative in their extents.		
Implications for the site	In-channel peak water level results for the Daniel's Brook model were also assessed in the centre of the site (DB-03150.1) for the H++ climate change scenario (see table below). The H++ (90%) climate change allowance saw a significant increase in the baseline 1 in 100 event water levels, which exceeded the 1 in 1,000 event water levels.		
	Scenario Peak Water Level at site (DB-03150.1) (mAOD) Difference in water level with 1 in 100 baseline (mA)		

24.49

24.90

25.05

N/A

+ 0.41

+ 0.56

1 in 100 – baseline

1 in 100 + 90% CC

(H++)

1 in 1000 – baseline



Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Blue Lias Formation (undifferentiated).	s Formation and C	Charmouth Mudstone
	Superficial Geology	The bedrock geology is overlain the south east of the site.	by Cheltenham sa	and and gravel deposits in
	Soils	The site has a range of soil types draining lime-rich soils, whereas areas of lime-rich loamy and clay	the north and sou	th of the site are covered by
Requirement for drainage control and impact	SuDS	 As a large, undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits, such as biodiversity, recreation and water resource education, through integration with areas of greenspace. All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques. Conveyance features should be designed above ground and fol natural flow paths where possible. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial floor risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature; therefore infiltration techniques are unlikely to be suitable. However, the presence of permeable superficial deposits may allow shallow infiltration techniques. To better understand the infiltration potential at the site-specific infiltration testing will be required. 		
mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not inlouded within a	Source Protection	Zone.
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sit	es within the prop	osed boundary.
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The majority of the proposed site is currently in a greenfield state and therefore post-development greenfield rates and volumes should be restricted to the existing rate. The site provides opportunities for the temporary storage of floodwaters, to reduce peak flows and downstream flood risk Daniel's Brook. The proposals should take account of any land that would potentially be needed to provide affordable mitigation of flood risk in the lower reaches of the catchment in particular with respect to climate change.		
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications
	Cumulative impacts of	Daniel's Brook – Source to Gloucester and Sharpness Canal	Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk
	development Coastal Catchment 2 (not part of a WFD river catchment) Medium		Medium	within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	G2
Site name	Land at Whaddon

Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the LLFA should be undertaken at an early stage
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources, including surface water and groundwater, both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure. This includes the "dry island" in the centre of the site, unless it is appropriate to implement measures so safe access and egress can be achieved for this area of the site.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS01
Site name	Brimscombe Mill

Site details	OS Grid reference	OS 86692 02439				
	Area	1.72 ha				
	Current land use	Industrial / Commerc	cial			
	Proposed site use	Mixed				
	NPPF Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable				
	Existing watercourses	centre of the site. A of the site, and is fee	The River Frome (Main River) flows in a north-westerly direction through the centre of the site. A large historic mill pond is located in the north west corner of the site, and is fed by the River Frome. The Thames and Severn Canal flows along the southern boundary of the site.			
	Flood history	There are no historic outlines of fluvial flooding recorded at the site. A cluster of flood incidents are recorded beyond the eastern corner of the site. However, it should be noted that these are postcode-scale incidents, which have been plotted at the centre of the postcode area, and therefore the location affected may differ. The following flood incidents are recorded for postcode GL5 2QN: O1/01/2002 – reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding O1/01/2003 - reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding 22/07/2006 - reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding				, which the ing ng ng
		Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zones				
Sources of flood risk		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 4% AEP (1 in 25)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1
			54%	14%	9%	23%
		Range of depths (m)	0.01 - 2.7	0.02 - 2.9	0.02 - 3.0	N/A
	Fluvial	Maximum hazard	3.1 (Danger for all)	3.8 (Danger for all)	4.3 (Danger for all)	N/A
		The site is covered TUFLOW detailed h River Frome, as weldeveloped as part on the benefit from floassessed here. Det supplied with the monextracted from out understood that site	lable modelled data: site is covered by the Environment Agency River Frome 1D-2D ESTLOW detailed hydraulic model, which was prepared in 2008 and cover of Frome, as well as the Thames and Severn Canal. The model was full eloped as part of the Stroud Valleys modelling study in 2015. The site obenefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenar assed here. Detailed 2D results for the 2008 River Frome model were liked with the model, and therefore the depth and hazard values above acted from outputs of the 2015 Stroud Valleys modelling study. Instead of the site has also been prepared out regeneration works.			covers the was further e site does scenario is el were not above were study. It is



Site code	PS01
Site name	Brimscombe Mill

		Flood characteristics: The site is at high risk of fluvial flooding, with the centre of the site being located in the 4% AEP (1 in 25) fluvial extent, the functional floodplain. A larger area of the south east and centre of the site is predicted to be affected during the 1% AEP (1 in 100) and 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) flood events. The highest flood depths are predicted to occur in the south eastern portion of the site, immediately downstream of Brimscombe Port. Flooding flows south westwards across the site, towards the canal, although flood depths remain shallower. The north of the site, alongside the A419, and the southern border remain at low risk (Flood Zone 1). The north west corner of the site, surrounding the mill pond. is identified at high fluvial flood risk. It should be noted that the highest values, for both depth and hazard, are associated with the mill pond in the north west corner of the site.			
		Prop	portion of site at risk (RoF	SW)	
		3.3% AEP (1 in 30)	1% AEP (1 in 100)	0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	
		49%	58%	70%	
S	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: A large area of the site is at high surface water flood risk, with flooding to occur to the centre of the site during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall erarea at risk extends in the centre and south east of the site during the (1 in 100) and 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall events. The surface was located within the same area as fluvial risk from the River Frome, surface water flooding may occur independently. It should be noted that the existing surface water flood maps are influthe outline of existing buildings across the site, and so the flood outlikely to change with development of the site.			
			to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence)	Map class (risk of	
l d	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
		0%	0%	0%	
		The site is at low risk of gr	oundwater flooding during	a 1 in 100 flood event.	
F	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of re	servoir flooding.		
C	Canal	The Thames and Severn Canal flows along the southern boundary of the site The canal is represented within the River Frome model, and does not sho flooding in the location of the site. There are also no recorded incidents of flooding from the canal. However, there is a residual risk of flood risk to the site in the event of overtopping of the canal, which should be assessed in greated detail within a site-specfic Flood Risk Assessment.			



Site code	PS01
Site name	Brimscombe Mill

		Defence Type	Standard of Protect	ction Co	ndition	
	Defences	There are no flood defences present within the site boundary or within the vicinity of the site.				
		Culvert / structure blockage?	The River Frome is section in the centre this culvert poses a eastern portion of the this risk, further blo required within a site.	of the site. A line residual risk to site. To fully ockage modell	olockage to the south understand ling will be	
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The site is not identified as bein reservoir failure. However, conshould be given to the mill pond west corner of the site, which out River Frome. Blockage of this concause the pond to overtop, and lower-lying central and southern a site. However, the residual risk from the pond should be assessed a site-specific FRA.		g at risk of consideration in the north falls into the outfall could impact the areas of the of flooding	
		Defence breach /		ich Zone		
		overtopping?	There are no flood vicinity of the site.	defences loca	ated in the	
	Flood warning	The site is located within the following Environment Agency Flood Warning and Flood Alert Areas: • Flood Alert Area: Rivers Frome and Cam				
				mbe and Thru	рр	
Emergency planning	Access and egress		ver Frome at Brimsco ed from London Road e Hill to the east, or the of surface water flood eastbound. However of from all sources. The of a 1 in 25 event (FI	d (A419) at the access tracked ing during a 1 during a 1 during a 1 during a 2 during a	ne northern to the west. I in 30 and of the site, ess track is), whereas	
	egress Climate change	Flood Warning Area: Ri The site is likely to be accessed boundary of the site, Brimscombe London Road (A419) is at risk of greater rainfall events, travelling the road is at low risk of flooding at risk of fluvial flooding during	ver Frome at Brimsco ed from London Road e Hill to the east, or the of surface water flood eastbound. However of from all sources. The of a 1 in 25 event (FI	d (A419) at the access tracked ing during a 1 during a 1 during a 1 during a 2 during a 2 during access to	ne northern to the west. I in 30 and of the site, ess track is), whereas	
	egress	Flood Warning Area: Ri The site is likely to be accessed boundary of the site, Brimscomber London Road (A419) is at risk of greater rainfall events, travelling the road is at low risk of flooding at risk of fluvial flooding during Brimscombe Hill is at risk during	ver Frome at Brimsco ed from London Road e Hill to the east, or the of surface water flood eastbound. However of from all sources. The of a 1 in 25 event (Fl a 1 in 100 fluvial flood	d (A419) at the access tracked ing during a 1 dr., to the northed western accolood Zone 3bd event (Flood Higher	to the west. in 30 and of the site, ess track is), whereas Zone 3a).	

PS01



Site name		Brimscombe Mill		
	Bedrock Geology	Lias Group, Inferior Oolite Group And Great Oolite Group (undifferentiated) - Limestone, Argillaceous Rocks And Subordinate Sandstone, Interbedded.		
	Superficial Geology	Alluvium – clay, silt, sand and gravel.		
	Soils	Lime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage		
Requirement for drainage control and	SuDS	 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generate on the site. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground, natural SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits (including biodiversity, amenity and water quality improvements). The geology suggests that infiltration may be an option across the site, particularly in areas of superficial geology. However, the clay-based soils may impede drainage, and therefore site-specific infiltration testing is advised. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. 		

impact mitigation

Groundwater

Protection Zone

Historic Landfill

Opportunities for

flood risk

betterment

Cumulative

impacts of

development

Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

Source

Site

Site code

The site, and particularly the existing mill pond, provides opportunities to store flows from the River Frome during times of flood, to reduce peak flow and delay the time at which it reaches communities downstream. Opportunities to open, or 'daylight', the section of culverted watercourse in the centre of the site should be investigated, to reduce the risk of a blockage affecting the site. Sensitivity to **Water Framework Implications** cumulative **Directive Catchment** impacts The effects which development of the site may Frome - source to have on flood risk within the Medium **Ebley Mill** catchment will need to be considered within a sitespecific flood risk assessment.

The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.

There are no historic landfill sites within the site boundary.



Site code	PS01
Site name	Brimscombe Mill

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. If the Sequential Test has been passed, then Table 3 of the NPPG gives details of appropriate flood risk vulnerability for each Flood Zone. For this site, if More Vulnerable (residential) development is proposed within Flood Zone 3, or Highly Vulnerable development is proposed within Flood Zone 2, the Exception Test must be satisfied.

A large proportion of the site is at high fluvial flood risk (>50% in Flood Zone 3) and flood depths are deep, with a high flood hazard to people. Therefore, if the development passes the Exception Test and More Vulnerable development is placed within Flood Zone 3, robust flood risk mitigation (including floodplain compensation and raised floor levels) and resilience measures (such as flood resilient construction) must be implemented.

It is noted that planned canal regeneration works at Brimscombe Port will include provision of additional storage for water within the reinstated canal, as well as ground raising in areas of the site, which are proposed to manage and reduce existing flood risk to the site from the River Frome and mitigate potential effects.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.
- The residual risk of flooding to the site, in the event of overtopping of the Thames and Severn Canal, should be assessed in further detail.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code		PS01
Site name		Brimscombe Mill
•	rainfall in enthe risks to Safe acces fluvial and routes. Co	yout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from xcess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise people and property. In the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow nsideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of ter flood risk.



Site code	PS02
Site name	Brimscombe Port

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 87016 02262	SO 87016 02262						
	Area	3.86 ha							
	Current land use	Industrial / Commerc	cial						
	Proposed site use	Residential	Residential						
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable							
	Existing watercourses	direction. Plans are River Frome also flo	The Thames and Severn Canal flows through the site in a north westerly direction. Plans are underway to restore the canal at Brimscombe Port. The River Frome also flows along the southern boundary of the site, before passing through the north western portion of the site, where it is culverted.						
Sources of flood risk	Flood history	There are no historic outlines of fluvial flooding recorded at the site. A cluster of flood incidents is recorded beyond the south western corner of the site. However, it should be noted that these are postcode-scale incidents, which have been plotted at the centre of the postcode area, and therefore the location affected may differ. The following flood incidents are recorded for postcode GL5 2QN: 01/01/2002 – reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding 01/01/2003 - reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding 22/07/2006 - reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding 22/09/2007 - reported sewer flooding caused internal flooding							
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 4% AEP (1 in 25)	e at risk in Floo Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1			
		Range of depths (m)	0.07 - 1.9	0.08 – 2.0	0.1 – 2.4	N/A			
	Fluvial	Maximum hazard	1.9 (Danger for most)	2.6 (Danger for all)	3.1 (Danger for all)	N/A			
		Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency River Frome 1D-2D ESTRY-TUFLOW detailed hydraulic model, which was prepared in 2008 and covers the River Frome, as well as the Thames and Severn Canal. The model was further developed as part of the Stroud Valleys modelling study in 2015. The site does not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. Detailed 2D results for the 2008 River Frome model were not supplied with the model, and therefore the depth and hazard values above were extracted from outputs of the 2015 Stroud Valleys modelling study. It is understood that site-specific modelling of the site has also been prepared to support regeneration works.							



Site code		PS02			
Site name		Brimscombe Port			
		Flood characteristics: The site is at a high risk of fluvial flooding, with the majority of the site located in Flood Zone 3b, the functional floodplain, which is predicted to flood during a 1 in 25 event. The extent of flooding increases to cover the north west and south east of the site during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 event. Peak flood depths are highest in the west of the site, particularly to the south of the River Frome, where they exceed 1m in depth during the 1% AEP event. Flood hazard is significant across the majority of the site during a 1 in 100 event, and increases to extreme in the north west and south east of the site during a 1 in 1,000 event.			
		Proj	portion of site at risk (RoF	SW)	
		3.3% AEP (1 in 30)	1% AEP (1 in 100)	0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	
		46%	58%	99%	
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: Surface water flood risk across the site is high, with widespread flooding predicted to occur to the centre and west of the site during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) event. During a 1% AEP (1 in 100) and 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) event, the flood risk extends to cover the majority of the site, excluding the northern border. However, the areas at surface water flood risk are located within Flood Zones 3a and 3b, and therefore should not be considered in addition to fluvial risk. It should be noted that the existing surface water flood maps are influenced by the outline of existing buildings across the site, and so the flood outlines are likely to change with development.			
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)			
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
		0%	0%	0%	
		The site is at low risk of groundwater flooding.			
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of re	servoir flooding.		
	Canal	The Thames and Severn Canal flows through the site. The canal is represented within the River Frome model, and does not show flooding in the location of the site. There are also no recorded incidents of flooding from the canal. However, there is a residual risk of floodingto the site, in the event of overtopping of the canal, which should be assessed in greater detail within a site-specfic Flood Risk Assessment.			



Site code		PS02				
Site name		Brimscombe Port				
	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protect	ction Co	ondition	
	20.0	There are no flood defences within the site boundary or within close proximity.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage?	centre and east of the site. Lo fully un			
		Impounded water body failure?	There is no residu waterbodies.	al risk from	impounded	
		Defence breach /		Breach Zone		
		overtopping?	There are no defend			
	Flood warning	The site is located within the following Environment Agency Flood Warning and Flood Alert Areas: • Flood Alert Area: Rivers Frome and Cam • Flood Warning Area: River Frome at Brimscombe and Thrupp				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed from London Road (A419) at the nother boundary of the site, or Port Lane/Brimscombe Hill to the south. The secton o London Road (A419) immediately north of the site is at risk of surface wate flooding in a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events. Port Lane and Brimscombe Hill are located within Flood Zone 3a, and therefore at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) event.				
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate	'2080s'	Severn 25% 35% 70%				
Change	Implications for the site	Modelling (of 2008 River Frome model) shows that the extent of the Flood Zone 3a + 70% climate change uplift extends beyond that of Flood Zone 3a (in 100 event), but does not exceed Flood Zone 2 (1 in 1,000 event). Therefor climate change is predicted to have a moderate impact on the extent of flood risk to the site.				



Site code		PS02				
Site name		Brimscombe Port				
	Bedrock Geology		Lias Group, Inferior Oolite Group And Great Oolite Group (undifferentiated) - Limestone, Argillaceous Rocks And Subordinate Sandstone, Interbedded.			
	Superficial Geology	Alluvium – clay, silt, sand	Alluvium – clay, silt, sand and gravel.			
	Soils	Lime-rich loamy and claye	Lime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage			
Requirement for drainage	SuDS	 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generated on the site. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits (including biodiversity, amenity and water quality improvements). The geology suggests that infiltration may be an option across the site, particularly in areas of superficial geology. However, the soils suggest impeded drainage, and so site-specific infiltration testing is advised. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. 				
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located with	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site boundary.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing water from the River Frome and the Thames ad Severn Canal during times of flood, to reduce the flow and delay the timing in which it reaches communities downstream. Proposals to reinstat the canal and create a new online basin are likely to increase the capacity for conveying flow within the canal.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications		
	Cumulative impacts of development	Frome - source to Ebley Mill	Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.		
	Sequential Test an	d Exception Test requirer	nents			

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS02
Site name	Brimscombe Port

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. If the Sequential Test has been passed, then Table 3 of the NPPG gives details of appropriate flood risk vulnerability for each Flood Zone. For this site, if More Vulnerable (residential) development is proposed within Flood Zone 3, or Highly Vulnerable development is proposed within Flood Zone 2, the Exception Test must be satisfied.

A large proportion of the site is at high fluvial flood risk (>50% in Flood Zone 3) and flood depths are deep, with a high flood hazard to people. Therefore, if the development passes the Exception Test and More Vulnerable development is placed within Flood Zone 3, appropriate flood risk mitigation (including floodplain compensation and raised floor levels) and resilience measures (such as flood resilient construction) must be implemented.

It is noted that planned canal regeneration works at Brimscombe Port will include provision of additional storage for water within the reinstated canal, as well as ground raising in areas of the site, which are proposed to manage and reduce existing flood risk to the site from the River Frome and mitigate potential effects.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood Risk Assessment:

- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.
- The residual risk of flooding to the site, in the event of overtopping of the Thames and Severn Canal, should be assessed in further detail.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code		PS02	
Site name		Brimscombe Port	
	fluvial and routes. Co	ss and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow insideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of ter flood risk.	



Site code	PS09
Site name	Rooksmoor Mill, North Woodchester

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 84168 03124	SO 84168 03124					
	Area	1.0						
	Current land use	Commercial						
	Proposed site use	Residential						
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable						
	Existing watercourses	The Nailsworth Stream (Main River) flows in a northerly direction through the southern portion of the site, before flowing along the western border of the site. A small ordinary watercourse flows into the site from the east, forming a tributary to Nailsworth Stream.					the site.	
	Flood history	The EA Recorded F affected by flooding Nailsworth Stream.	in July	1968, as a	result of chann	el exceedance on		
					at risk in Flood		1	
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)		d Zone 3b	Flood Zone 3a	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone	
		Site at 113k (70)		AEP	1% AEP	0.1% AEP	1	
				in 20)	(1 in 100)	(1 in 1,000)		
			,	9%	0%	66%	25%	
		Range of depths (m)		-	•	0.02 - 1.47	N/A	
Sources of		Maximum hazard		-	-	1.4 Significant – Danger to most	N/A	
flood risk	Fluvial	The site is covered by the Environment Agency Nailsworth Stream FM-TUFLOW detailed hydraulic model, prepared in 2019. The site does not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. Flood characteristics: During a 5% AEP (1 in 20) and 1% AEP (1 in 100) events, flooding is confined to the channel in the site. Flooding is first predicted to occur to the north east of the site during a 0.5% AEP (1 in 200) event. During a 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) event, flooding is predicted to extend beyond the channel to cover the majority of the site. The north eastern and south western corners of the site remain within Flood Zone 1 (i.e. very low risk of flooding). The ordinary watercourse at the east of the site also requires consideration, and further hydraulic modelling may be required to understand the flood risk associated with this watercourse. The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water dataset has been used to assess fluvial flood risk in areas outside the Flood						
		Zones.	Propo	rtion of s	ite at risk (RoF	SW)		
	Surface Water	3.3% AEP (1 in 3			P (1 in 100)	0.1% AEP (1 in	1,000)	
		12%		2	28%	76%		



Site code		PS09			
Site name		Rooksmoor Mill, North Woodchester			
		Description of surface water flow paths: The surface water flood risk within the site is largely associated with lying fluvial floodplain. During the 3.3% AEP and 1% AEP rainfall evextent of surface flooding is largely confined within the Nailswort channel, with the exception of a small area of flooding in the centre during the 1% AEP rainfall event. The flood extent during the 0.1% 1000) rainfall event extends to cover the floodplain of the Nailswortl Where the surface water flood risk coincides with the floodplain, it sho considered as an additional source of flood risk. Surface water floely beyond the east of the site suggest that the ordinary watercourse tribifollow Rooksmoor Hill before joining the Nailsworth Stream. Howe detailed hydraulic modelling should be performed to confirm this route. Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk)			
		Areas Susceptible	to Groundwater Flooding	Map class (risk of	
	Groundwater	Areas Susceptible		Map class (risk of	
	Groundwater	Areas Susceptible ASTGWF - Category 2	to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence) ASTGWF - Category 3	Map class (risk of ASTGWF - Category 4	
	Groundwater	Areas Susceptible ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50% 0%	to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence) ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75% 0%	
	Groundwater Reservoir	Areas Susceptible ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50% 0% The site is at low risk of gr The majority of the site is at low risk of gr	to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence) ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75% 0% roundwater emergence during at risk of flooding, in the rander of flood extent at the site podchester condchester floodchester.	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75% 0% ng a flood event. e event of breach from the	



Site code		PS09				
Site name		Rooksmoor Mill, North Woodchester				
	Defences	Defence Type Stan			Cond	lition
		There are no defences within the	e site boundar	ry, or withi	n close proxi	mity.
Flood risk management		Culvert / structure blockage?	Nailsworth Stream is culverted within centre of the site. There is a residual riflooding to southern areas of the site, i event of blockage to the culvert. Furnodelling would be required to understhe impact of this blockage to the site wis site-specific FRA.			dual risk of site, in the ert. Further understand
infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	in the rare Middle Pond	A large majority of the site is at risk of floor in the rare event of a reservoir breat Middle Pond, Kennel Pond, Parkmill Podatcombe Water.		breach at
			Breach Zone			
				are no defences which pose a residual the site, in the event of breach or ping.		
	Flood warning	The site is located within the Environment Agency Rivers Frome and Cam Floo Alert Area. It is not located within any Flood Warning Areas.				Cam Flood
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed via the A46 Bath Road, at the eastern boundary of the site. The road is likley to affected by fluvial flooding from Nailsworth Stream during a 0.1% AEP (1 in 1000) event. The section of road adjacent to the site is also at risk of surface water flooding during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events. Therefore access to the site may be restricted during heavy rainfall, and times of fluvial flooding.			Nailsworth adjacent to in 30) and	
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	Severn		25%	35%	70%
Change	Implications for the site	Modelling shows that the extents extend beyond that of Flood Zon site. However, Flood Zone 3a + 2 (1 in 1,000 event).	e 3a, particul	arly in the	centre and n	orth of the



Site code		PS09				
Site name		Rooksmoor Mill, North Woodchester				
	Bedrock Geology	Marlstone Rock Formation - Limestone, Ferruginous.				
	Superficial Geology	No superficial deposits are	No superficial deposits are recorded at the site.			
	Soils	Slightly acidic loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage.				
Requirement	SuDS	 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generated on the site. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. The geology suggests that infiltration techniques may be feasible across the site. However, the clayey soils may impede drainage, and therefore site-specific infiltration testing is advised. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvitlood risk. 				
for drainage control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
g	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site or in close proximity.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing surface water generated within the steep Nailsworth Stream, to reduce the flow and delay the timing in which it reaches downstream communities in Dudbridge. Opportunities to open, or 'daylight', the section of culverted watercourse in the centre of the site should be investigated, to reduce the risk of a blockage affecting the site.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications		
	Cumulative impacts of development	Nailsworth Stream - source to conf R Frome	Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.		
	Sequential Test an	d Exception Test requirem	ents			

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code		PS09			
Site name		Rooksmoor Mill, North Woodchester			
	The Sequential Test must be satisfied. If the Sequential Test has been passed, then Table 3 of the NPPG gives details of appropriate flood risk vulnerability for each Flood Zone. For this site, if More Vulnerable (residential) development is proposed within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change, or Highly Vulnerable development is proposed within Flood Zone 2, the Exception Test must be satisfied.				
		proportion of the site is at fluvial flood risk (>50% in FZ3a plus climate change) and flood re deep, with a high flood hazard to people.			
Recommend- ations for Local Plan policy	If no alternative sites at lower flood risk can be allocated according to the Sequential Test, a sequential approach must be taken to designing the site, avoiding placing More Vulnerable development in areas of highest risk within the site, using them instead for appropriate uses such as Water Compatible uses (e.g. green infrastructure, flood storage) or Less Vulnerable (e.g. commercial development).				
	If the development passes the Exception Test and More Vulnerable development is placed within Flood Zone 3a plus climate change, appropriate flood risk mitigation (including floodplain compensation and raised floor levels) and resilience measures (such as flood resilient construction) must be implemented.				
	Recommendations guidance for devel	for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including opers			
	Zones 2 a (https://ww All sources considered Blockage of the site is impact of diwithin the considered Guidance for s A site-specific	iffic flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed w.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications). of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water and reservoir flooding, should be as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment. modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with f the culvert within the site. located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative evelopment. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment. site design and making development safe: cific surface water drainage strategy will be required.			
	should see Consultation the Stroud potential S Developments site, for exa The developments steered awas paces as The site lar rainfall in each of the risks to	ent must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and k to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream. In with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and a uDS features should be undertaken at an early stage. In must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offample by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding. In prement should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be any from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these green infrastructure. In your and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise people and property. In 100-year plus climate change is and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change.			
	routes. Co	rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow nsideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of ter flood risk.			



Site code	PS11
Site name	Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 84868 05207					
	Area	0.2 ha					
	Current land use	Residential					
	Proposed site use	Residential/ town centre More vulnerable					
	Flood risk vulnerability						
	Existing watercourses		The site is located 15m south east of the Slad Brook (Main River), which flows in a southerly direction. The watercourse is culverted in this location, as it passes below the railway.				
	Flood history	There are no record recorded flood external located 30m south	nt from	the July 20			
		F	ropor	tion of site	at risk in Flood	Zones	
		Proportion of the site at risk		d Zone 3b % AEP	Flood Zone 3a	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 1
	Fluvial	(%)	(1	in 20)	1% AEP (1 in 100)	0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	
			N/A	۹ (10%)	10%	15%	85%
Sources of		Available modelled data: The Flood Zones in this location are generated from national broadscale modelling, rather than a detailed hydraulic model. No 5% AEP (1 in 20) results were available for the Slad Brook, and therefore the results for 1% AEP (Flood Zone 3a) have been used as a proxy for Flood Zone 3b. Flood characteristics: The northwestern boundary and western corner of the site, adjacent to the A46					
flood risk		Merrywalks, are at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) event. A marginal increase in flood extent is predicted to occur during the 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) event. The remaining areas of the site are at very low risk of fluvial flooding (Flood Zone 1).					
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFSW)					
		3.3% AEP (1 in	30)	1% AEI	P (1 in 100)	0.1% AEP (1 i	n 1,000)
		6%		7% 14%			
Surface Water Description of surface water flow paths: The south west corner of the site is predicted to be at risk flooding during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event. Surface was flow off Merrywalks and pond in the lower-lying ground agembankment. The extent of flooding is predicted to increase d (1 in 100) and 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall events. It should be noted that the surface water flood maps are it existing building on the site, and therefore the flood outlines are with development.				rface water is propound against the crease during the ps are influence	edicted to e railway e 1% AEP ed by the		
	Groundwater	Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)					



Site code	PS11
Site name	Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks

		ASTGWF - Category 2		GWF - Cat >=50% <7		AST	SWF - C >=75	ategory 4
		0% 100%					0%)
		The site is at moderate to high susceptibility to flooding from groundwater.					water.	
	Reservoir	The site is not recorded to	The site is not recorded to be at risk from reservoir flooding.					
	Canal	There are no canals withir	the si	ite boundar	у.			
	Defences	Defence Type		Standar	d of Prote	ction	Co	ndition
	20.0	There are no defences wit	hin the	e site.				
Flood risk		Culvert / structure blockage?		There are	no culver	ts withii	n the sit	e.
management infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?		The site i	s not at ris	k of flo	oding, i	n the event
		Defence breach / overtopping?		Breach Zone				
				There are no defences within the site boundary.			the site	
	Flood warning	The site is located within the following Environment Agency Flood Flood Warning Areas: River Frome and Cam Flood Alert Area				d Alert and		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	River Frome at Stroud and Ryeford Flood Warning Area Access is likely to be via the A46 Merrywalks, at the western boundary of the site. Merrywalks is at high surface water flood risk, with flooding predicted to occur durng the 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events. The road is also also at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) event. Therefore, access to the site may be restricted during intense rainfall events, and at times of fluvial flooding.						
Climate change allowances for		River Basin District			Central		her tral	Upper End
	'2080s'	Severn			25%	35	5%	75%
Climate Change	Implications for the site	Due to the lack of a detaile has been used as a proxy change extents. This indic flood risk during the 1% A a conservative account of	for the ates the EP floo	e Flood Zor nat climate	ne 3a + 35° change wi	% and 7	70% clir in an ir	nate crease in



Site code	PS11
Site name	Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by the Lias group sandstone.	o of mudstone, s	iltstone, limestone and		
	Superficial Geology	The site is overlain by undifferentiated river terrace deposits.				
	Soils	Slightly acid loamy and clayey soils w	ith impeded drai	nage		
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generate on the site. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground, natural SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits (including biodiversity amenity and water quality improvements). Due to the high risk of groundwater flooding, discharge of the site via infiltration may not be suitable. However, shallower infiltration techniques may be feasible. This should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvia flood risk. 				
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a desginated Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites loca historic landfill site is located approxin				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Opportunities for using source control SuDS to manage runoff rates and volumes, contributing to the reduction of flood peaks downstream and existing surface water flow paths leaving the site.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative Impacts	Implications		
Cumulative Impact of development		Slad Brook source to confluence Stroudwater canal	Moderate	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.		
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements					

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS11
Site name	Merrywalks Arches, Merrywalks

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- Detailed modelling will be required to confirm more precisely the Flood Zone and climate change extents for the site (see 'Available modelled data'). The Environment Agency and LLFA should be consulted to obtain the latest hydraulic modelling information for the site at the time of preparing a flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

Site details	OS Grid reference SO 84124 04959						
	Area	10.84 ha					
	Current land use	use Brownfield site					
Proposed site use Tourism/employment							
	Flood risk vulnerability	Less vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses	The River Frome flows to the south of the site, and the Stroudwater Canal forms the northern boundary. Painswick Stream, a Main River, also forms a confluence with the Stroudwater Canal at the northern site boundary. Two lakes are located within the centre of the site.					
Sources of flood risk	Flood history	There are no historic outlines of fluvial flooding recorded at the site. An incident of overtopping on the Stroudwater Canal was recorded in the north of the site on 20th July 2007 and led to the flooding of one garage as well as nearby Cainscross Road. One incident of highway flooding was recorded at Frome Hall Lane, at the southern boundary of the site on 4th July 2007, with exceedance of the surface water sewer or drainage system suspected to be the cause. A cluster of flood incidents are recorded beyond the eastern corner of the site, at the junction between A46 Bath Rad and A419 Dr Newton's Way. However, it should be noted that these are postcode-scale incidents, and which have been plotted at the centre of the postcode area, and therefore the location affected may differ. The recorded incidents are as follows: 14/12/2000 – reported sewer flooding causing internal flooding 13/02/2001 – reported sewer flooding causing curtilage flooding 24/06/2007 – reported sewer flooding causing internal, external and highway flooding 03/11/2011 - reported sewer flooding causing highway flooding 02/08/2018 – reported fluvial flooding					
		Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zones					
	Fluvial	Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 5% AEP (1 in 20)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1	
			30%	13%	11%	46%	
		Range of depths (m)	0.05 - 0.5	0.01 - 0.69	0.01 - 0.99	N/A	
		Maximum hazard	1.2 – Moderate (Dangerous for some)	1.4 – Significant (Dangerous for most)	1.9 - Significant (Dangerous for most)	N/A	



Site code		PS13			
Site name		Central River, Canal Corridor			
		Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency River Frome 2D detailed hydraulic model, prepared in 2008. The model was further developed as part of the Stroud Valleys modelling study in 2015. The site does not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. Detailed 2D results for the 2008 River Frome model were not supplied with the model, and therefore the depth and hazard values above were extracted from outputs of the 2015 Stroud Valleys modelling study. Flood characteristics: The site is at high risk of fluvial flooding, with the centre of the site located within the 1 in 20 fluvial extent, the functional floodplain. The centre and east of the site are also predicted to be affected during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood event, whereas the western portion of the site remains at very low risk (i.e. within Flood Zone 1). Peak flood depths in the centre and east of the site are predicted to reach between 0.1 – 0.3m in depth during a 1 in 100 event, with the highest depths predicted to form at the northern site boundary, adjacent to the Stroudwater Canal. Flood hazard ranges from very low to significant during a 1 in 100 event, with the greatest hazard to people predicted in the south of the site, adjacent to the River Frome.			
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFSW)			
	Surface Water	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 1,000	
		Description of surface water flow paths: The site is at moderate risk of surface water flooding. The central and north eastern areas of the site, between the Stroudwater Canal and River Frome, are at highest risk of flooding, with large areas of ponding predicted to accumulate around the existing buildings during the 1 in 100r and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events.			
	Groundwater	Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)			
		ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
		26%	29%	0%	
		The site is at moderate groundwater flood risk, being partially located within 1km² grid squares with a greater than 25% but less than 75% risk of groundwater emergence during a 1 in 100 event.			

Reservoir

Canal

The south western boundary of the site is at risk of reservoir flooding. However,

the site, in the event of overtopping of the canal, which should be assessed in

the area of coverage by Reservoir Inundation Mapping is very small.

The Stroudwater Canal forms the northern boundary of the siteThe canal is represented within the River Frome model, and the model is considered to represent flood risk to the site from the canal. The residual risk of flood risk to

greater detail within a site-specfic Flood Risk Assessment...



Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

Flood risk management infrastructure	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protect	ion Co	ndition		
		There are no flood defences within, or within the vicinity of the site.					
	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage? There are no culverts within the site boundary. However, the River Frome appears to be bridged or culverted to the east of the site, where it passes beneath A46 Bath Road.					
		Impounded water body failure?	A small proportion of the south western boundary of the site is at risk of reservoir flooding, in the event of a breach.				
IIIIIastructure			Bread	h Zone			
		Defence breach / overtopping?	There is a residual risk of flooding due to overtopping of the Stroudwater Canal, which is modelled as part of the undefended flood extent from the River Frome (as represented by the Flood Zones). However, there are no reported incidents of canal breach or overtopping in this location.				
	Flood warning	The site is covered by the following Environment Agency Flood Warning and					
		Flood Alert Areas: • River Frome at Stroud and Ryeford Flood Warning Area					
Emergency planning		 River Frome at Stroud and Ryeford Flood Warning Area Rivers Frome and Cam Flood Alert Area 					
	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed from A46 Bath Road. However, alternative access routes are available on Chestnut Lane and Lodgemoor Lane, to the north. The area of Bath Road at the eastern corner of the site, and the end of Lodgemoor Lane, are identified as at risk of flooding during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 event on the River Frome. The risk of surface water flooding to all three roads is relatively low, with areas of ponding predicted to occur during a 1 in 1,000 rainfall event only.					
	Climate change allowances for '2080s'	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End		
Climate Change		Severn	25%	35%	70%		
	Implications for the site	Modelling (of 2008 River Frome + 70% climate change allowance Zone 3a and Flood Zone 2, in th	e is expected to extend	beyond that			



Site code		PS13					
Site name		Central River, Canal Corridor					
	Bedrock Geology Superficial Geology	Sandstone. Landslip deposits are located over	The underlying geology is Lias Group Mudstone, Siltstone, Limestone and Sandstone. Landslip deposits are located over the majority of the north and west of the site, with river terrace deposits present across the east and south.				
	Soils	base-rich loamy and clayey soils	The majority of the site is underlain by slowly permeable and slightly acid, base-rich loamy and clayey soils, which are seasonally wet. The east of the site is covered by slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage				
Requirement for drainage control and	SuDS	 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generated on the site. Severn Trent Water has identified a large pumped Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) within the site, at which pollution incidents have previously been reported. The asset may be adversely impacted by any increase in flow, and therefore surface water drainage should follow the discharge hierarchy and drain into surface waters (e.g. Painswick Stream or Stroudwater Canal), rather than the combined sewer network. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A desk-based review of the site geology suggests that infiltration techniques may not be suitable at the site. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. 					
mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a designated Source Protection Zone.					
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the development site boundary. However, Farhill Landfill site is located approximately 30mto the north of the site. Cainscross landfill is located approximately 400m to the north west of the site.					
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing flood water from the River Frome, to reduce the flow and delay the timing in which it reaches communities downstream.					
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications			
	Cumulative impacts of development River Frome – Source to E Mill		Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.			
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements						



Site code	PS13
Site name	Central River, Canal Corridor

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. If the Sequential Test has been passed, then Table 3 of the NPPG gives details of appropriate flood risk vulnerability for each Flood Zone. For this site, if More Vulnerable (residential) development is proposed within FZ3a, or Highly Vulnerable development is proposed within FZ2, the Exception Test must be satisfied.

A large proportion of the site is at fluvial flood risk (>50% in FZ3) and flood depths are moderately deep, with a high flood hazard to people.

If no alternative sites at lower flood risk can be allocated according to the Sequential Test, a sequential approach must be taken to designing the site, avoiding placing More Vulnerable development in areas of highest risk within the site, using them instead for appropriate uses such as Water Compatible uses (e.g. green infrastructure, flood storage) or Less Vulnerable (e.g. commercial development).

If the development passes the Exception Test and More Vulnerable development is placed within FZ3, appropriate flood risk mitigation (including floodplain compensation and raised floor levels) and resilience measures (such as flood resilient construction) must be implemented.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS14
Site name	Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 81184 04305	SO 81184 04305					
	Area	1.78ha						
	Current land use	Industrial						
	Proposed site use	Residential						
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable						
	Existing watercourses	The site is formed of two parcels, a smaller eastern and a larger west parcel, separated by Brockley Road. The River Frome (Main River) flows in a south westerly direction alon southern boundary of both land parcels. The River Frome splits into two channels at Brockley Road, and a further watercourse flows in a north direction through the centre of the western land parcel.						
Sources of flood risk	Flood history	Both parts of the sites are partially included within the Environment Agency Recorded Flood Outlines. The majority of the eastern land parcel was flooded in July 1968, whereas a small area at the western border of the western site was flooded during the July 2007 event. Both of these flood events occurred as a result of the River Frome channel being exceeded. To the north of the site, two additional flood incidents have been recorded in October 2000: October 2000 - residential flooding incident. Postcode area GL10 2LG. The source of flooding is unknown. Og/10/2000 - reported sewer flooding caused external flooding in postcode area GL5 2BA. It should be noted that these are postcode-scale incidents, which have been plotted at the centre of the postcode area, and therefore the location affected may differ.						
		P	Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zones					
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 4% AEP (1 in 25)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1		
			4%	0%	16%	80%		
	EL SI	Maximum Water Level (mAOD)	23.67 – 27.89	23.83 – 27.62	24.02 – 27.66	N/A		
	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency River From TUFLOW detailed hydraulic model, which was prepared in 20 River Frome, as well as the Thames and Severn Canal. This se was not developed as part of the Stroud Valleys modelling site does not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the uncis assessed here. Detailed 2D results for the 2008 River From supplied with the model, however maximum water levels will been extracted.				covers the the model 2015. The d scenario I were not		



Site code		PS14				
Site name		Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley				
		Flood characteristics:				
		The majority of the eastern land parcel is located within Flood Zone 2, and therefore predicted to flood during a 1 in 1,000 fluvial event. Within the wester land parcel, the western border and the western corner of the site are local within Flood Zone 3b, and are predicted to flood during a 4% AEP (1 in 25) even Areas of the site predicted to flood are located adjacent to the channel of River Frome. It should be noted that the Environment Agency Flood Zone 2 extent is great than the area predicted to flood within the 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) model results for the River Frome. This is a result of the inclusion of recorded flooutlines within the Environment Agency Flood Zone 2. For example, the east parcel of land is predicted to be predominantly at risk of flooding, but this is a result of the July 1968 flood event.				
		Prop	portion of site at risk (RoF	SW)		
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year		
		6%	11%	19%		
	Surface Water	The western land parcel in Areas of high risk, where so in 30 (3.3% AEP) rainfall et of the site, which coincid locations, surface water risk. Within this land parcel, the in the east of the site. This forming ponding around the in 30) rainfall event. The found the 1% AEP (1 in 100) and lin the eastern parcel of lar to flood during a 3.3% AE with the adjacent mill ponding a second to the second to the second to the second th	ater flow paths: Is at moderate to high risk of surface water flooding surface water flooding is predicted to occur during a vent, are located at the southern and western borders with the floodplain of the River Frome. In the sk should not be considered in addition to fluvial flow re is a further area of surface water flooding predict runoff forms on Brockley Road and flows westward e existing buildings on the site during a 3.3% AEP ooding extends to cover the centre of the site during 10.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall events. Id a small area along the northern border is predict P (1 in 30) rainfall event. This flooding is associat I.			
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)				
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%		
	Groundwater	0%	100%	0%		
		The entirety of the site is located within a 1km² grid square with a 50 - 75% likelihood of groundwater emergence during a 1 in 100-year event, and is therefore identified as at moderate-to-high risk.				
	Reservoir	There is a residual risk of flooding to the western and southern borders of the western land parcel, as well as the eastern and southern borders of the eastern land parcel. This flooding is associated with the unlikely event that a breach occurred on one of the following reservoirs: • Mill Pond, Woodchester • Parkmill Pond, Woodchester • Gatcombe Water • Kennel Pond, Woodchester				
	Canal		n the site boundary. The Str n of the south, and therefor low.			



Site code	PS14
Site name	Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protection	Condition		
	Deletious	There are no flood defences with	nin the site boundary or within o	close proximity.		
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage?	The River Frome is culverted within the centre of the west The RoFSW mapping has be proxy for a blockage scenarior is not represented within modelling, The mapping indice the higher topography at the corner of the site, downstreasentrance, blockage would impact on flood risk to the blockage scenario testing wow within a site-specific FRA to the risk that culvert blockage process.	ern land parcel. been used as a bo, as the culvert in the RoFSW lates that, due to be south eastern in of the culvert have a limited limited site. However, build be required fully understand		
	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	There is a residual risk of flooding to the western and southern borders of the western land parcel, as well as the eastern and southern borders of the eastern land parcel. This flooding is associated with the unlikely event that a breach occurred on one of the following reservoirs: Mill Pond, Woodchester Parkmill Pond, Woodchester Gatcombe Water Kennel Pond, Woodchester			
		Defence breach /	Breach Zone			
	FII	overtopping? Both land parcels are included wi	There are no defences within the Environment Agency R			
	Flood warning	Cam Flood Alert Area.				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access for both parcels of land is likely to be via Brockley Road, which is local between the two land parcels. This route is shown to be affected by flu flooding in the 4% AEP (1 in 25) and greater fluvial flood events, to the south western land parcel. However, this flood risk is associated with the chan below the road bridge. North of the site, the road is affected by fluvial flood during the 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) event. Brockley Road is also at high risk of surface water flooding, with flow paredicted to form outside the two land parcels during the 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events. Therefore, access to the two land parcels is likely to affected by fluvial and surface water flooding.				
Climate Change	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District	Central High Cent			
	'2080s'	Severn	25% 35%	% 70%		
	Implications for the site	Modelling shows that the extents of Flood Zone 3a + 35% CC and + 70% CC extend beyond that of Flood Zone 3a, but do not extend beyond Flood Zone 2 Therefore, climate change is not predicted to significantly impact the propose site.				



Site code	PS14
Site name	Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

	Bedrock Geology	Blue Lias formation and Char	rmouth mudstone formati	on (undifferentiated)		
	Superficial Geology	Alluvium – clay, silt, sand and	d gravel			
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	Soils	Loamy and clayey floodplain soils with naturally high groundwater				
	SuDS	 As a previously developed site, development should seek to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces, to limit the rates and volumes of surface water runoff generate on the site. Severn Trent Water has identified a Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) adjacent to the site, at which a pollution incident has previously been reported. The asset may be adversely impacted by any increase in flow, and therefore surface water drainage should follow the discharge hierarchy and drain into surface waters (e.g. Painswick Stream or Stroudwater Canal), rather than the combined sewer network. Opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A desk-based review of the site geology and risk of groundwater emergence suggests that infiltration techniques may not be suitable at the site. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. 				
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site boundary. However, the Brockley Road landfill site is adjacent to the south western corner of the left parcel of land.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site, and particularly the existing mill pond, provides opportunities to store flows from the River Frome during times of flood, to reduce peak flow and delay the time at which it reaches communities downstream. Opportunities to open, or 'daylight', the section of culverted watercourse in the centre of the site should be investigated, to reduce the risk of a blockage affecting the site.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications		
	Cumulative impacts of development	Frome - source to Ebley Mill	Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.		
	Sequential Test an	d Exception Test requiremen	nts			

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS14
Site name	Stanley Mills, Kings Stanley

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be passed:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is passed. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate. It is recommended that a detailed hydraulic model is carried out for the site to accurately understand risk to the site.
- A detailed assessment of the risk and location of high groundwater levels and groundwater emergence should be undertaken, including groundwater monitoring during the winter months.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS19a
Site name	Stonehouse Northwest

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 80096 07026					
	Area	37.59 ha					
	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed site use	Residential / open space / employment More vulnerable					
	Flood risk vulnerability						
	Existing watercourses		An unnamed ordinary watercourse, which forms a tributary of the River Frome, flows in a south westerly direction and along the southern boundary of the site.				
	Flood history	However, several set the site, in Nastend. It should be noted the plotted at the centre may differ. The following flood if a 18/03/2018 a 21/03/2018 flooding a 22/03/2018	The following flood incidents are recorded for postcode GL10 3SX: • 18/03/2018 - reported sewer flooding caused external flooding • 21/03/2016 - reported sewer flooding caused internal and external flooding				stream of ve been affected ing
		Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zon					
		Proportion of the site at risk		d Zone 3b	Flood Zone 3a	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 1
Sources of		(%)		AEP	1% AEP	0.1% AEP (1	
flood risk		-		in 20) \ (1%)	(1 in 100) 1%	in 1,000) 0%	99%
	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The Flood Zones in this location are generated from national modelling, rather than a detailed hydraulic model. No 5% AEP (1 in were available, and therefore the results for 1% AEP (Flood Zone 3a) used as a proxy for Flood Zone 3b. Flood characteristics: A small portion of the southern corner of the site is at risk of fluvial floo 1% AEP (1 in 100) ad 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) flood events. The rema of the site are at very low risk of fluvial flooding (i.e. within Floo However, this classification is due to the sparse coverage of F modelling in this location, and the RoFSW mapping suggests that the fluvial flooding is greater. The flood risk associated with the ordinary watercourse should be responsible.			20) results have been ding during ining areas d Zone 1). Flood Zone he extent of		
		detail as part of a si	<u> </u>				
	Surface Water	0.00/ 455	Prop		site at risk (Ro		A ED
	Surface water	3.3% AEP (1 in 30)			l% AEP l in 100)	0.1% <i>i</i> (1 in 1,	



Site code		PS19a			
Site name		Stonehouse Northwest			
		1%	1%	6%	
		Description of surface water flow paths: Three large surface water flow paths form at the northern, southern western boundaries of the site. The south west flow path forms during AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event, and the southern flow path forms during (1 in 100) event. Both flow paths drain southwards into a tributary of Frome. The northern boundary of the site is at risk of surface water during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event, and greater return period flows north-eastwards, towards Pidgemore Farm, before entering a watercourse of Epney Ryne.			
			to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence)		
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
		41%	0%	0%	
	The site has a low to moderate likelihood of groundwate			ater emergence.	
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of flooding in the event of a reservoir breach. There are no canals within the site boundary.			
	Canal				



Site code	PS19a
Site name	Stonehouse Northwest

	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protection	Condition		
		There are no defences located within the site.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no culverts located within site boundary. The ordinary waterout to the east of the site is culverted bette the railway line. RoFSW mapping (vides not represent the culvert, therefore acts as a proxy for a block scenario) indicates that blockage of culvert will cause ponding at the upst face of the railway embankment, but not impact the site. However, the info of this blockage on the residual risk that site should be assessed in detail with site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.		ratercourse ed beneath bing (which alvert, and a blockage age of this e upstream ent, but will the impact I risk to the ail within a sment.	
		Impounded water body failure?	The site is not at risk of flooding in the event of a reservoir breach.			
		Defence breach / overtopping?	N/A	Breach Zone N/A		
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by any Environment Agency Flood Warning or F Alert Areas.				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed by the track in the centre of the site, which currenly provides access from the existing dwelling of Stagholt Farm, on to the B4008 Gloucester Road. The current access route is predicted to experience localised areas of surface water flooding during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events.			n, on to the experience	
	Climate change	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate -	'2080s'	Severn	25%	35%	70%	
Change	Implications for the site	Due to the lack of a detailed hydraulic modelling in this location, Flood 2 has been used as a proxy for the Flood Zone 3a + 35% and 70% climate change extents. This indicates that climate change will result in an increflood risk during the 1% AEP flood event (Flood Zone 3a), although it may a conservative account of risk.			nate icrease in	



Site code	PS19a
Site name	Stonehouse Northwest

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by the Lias gro sandstone.	up mudstone, si	Itstone, limestone and		
	Superficial Geology	The site is not overlain with superfic	posits.			
	Soils	Lime-rich loamy and clayey soils wit	nage.			
	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground, natural SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits (including biodiversity, amenity and water quality improvements). The impermeable underlying geology suggests that discharge of the site via infiltration is unlikely to be feasible. However, this should be confirmed within a site-specific drainage strategy. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluv flood risk. 				
Requirement for drainage control and impact	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a designated Groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
mitigation	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed site boundary, or in close proximity to the site.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Opportunities for using source control SuDS to manage runoff rates and volumes, contributing to the reduction of existing surface water flow paths leaving the site, as well as flood peaks downstream on the River Frome and Epney Rhyne.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications		
	Cumulative impacts of	Frome- Ebley Mill to confluence with the River Severn	High	FRA should include consideration of effects		
	development	Epney Rhyne – source to confluence with the River Severn Estuary	High	on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects		
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements					

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS19a
Site name	Stonehouse Northwest

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.

Assa Vulnerable and Lass Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Table 1. Table 1.

More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- Modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with potential blockage
 of the culvert to the east of the site.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 78534 06603				
	Area	23 ha				
	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed site use	Sports stadium/employment/community/open source				
	Flood risk vulnerability	Less vulnerable				
	Existing watercourses	There are no wa However, an ord of the northern b The River Frome addition, the Stro north westerly di	The site is formed of two land parcels separated by the A419. There are no watercourses located within the site boundary of the north parcel. However, an ordinary watercourse tributary of the River Frome forms a section of the northern boundary of the parcel. The River Frome forms the south western boundary of the south parcel. In addition, the Stroudwater Canal and a further tributary of the Frome flow in a morth westerly direction through the centre of the parcel. The site Is located within the Lower Severn IDB.			
	Flood history	There are no recorded flood events recorded within the north land parcel. T Environment Agency Recorded Flood Outline identifies that the southwester portion of the south parcel was affected by flooding in July 2007, when the channel capacity of the undefended River Frome was exceeded. Upstream of the site, an incident of overtopping on the Stroudwater Canal of the recorded on 19th July 2007 by the Canal and Rivers Trust. The cause of flooding was recorded to be blockage due to high magnitude canal flows are bypasses due to weed growth, causing water to back up and flood the A41s				hwestern en the Canal was e of lows and
Sources of				of site at risk in F	·	
flood risk			Flood Zone 3b 4% AEP (1 in 25)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1
	Fluvial	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				e does not scenario is d out to the on between orks on the velopment. st available iver Frome



Site code	PS20			
Site name	M5 Junction 13			
	Flood characteristics: The north parcel is at very low risk of fluvial flooding (i.e within Flood Zone 1). The south western portion of the south parcel is predicted to be at risk of flooding from the River Frome during a 1 in 25, 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood event. Peak flood levels are greatest at the south western corner of the site, where they reach 13.59mAOD in the 1 in 100 and 13.71mAOD in the 1 in 1,000 event. At the north western corner of the land parcel, maximum flood levels are predicted to range between 12.93mAOD (1 in 100) and 13.06mAOD (1 in 1,000).			
	Proj	portion of site at risk (RoF	FSW)	
	1 in 30	1 in 100	1 in 1,000	
	7%	13%	42%	
Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: In the north parcel, surface water flood risk is concentrated in the southern, and north western corners of the site, where ponding occurs against the higher ground of the A419 and M5, during a 1 in 30 rainfall event, and greater return periods. The northern boundary of the parcel is also identified to be at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event. However, the extent of risk appears to represent the floodplain of the unnamed River Frome tributary and therefore may be fluvial in nature. In the south parcel, the greatest surface water flood risk is concentrated at the north western corner, in a low point where the Stroudwater Canal and a tributary of the River Frome pass below the M5. Flooding is predicted to occur here in a 1 in 30 rainfall event. During the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events, the extent of flood risk extends southwards, covering the western portion of the land parcel.			
	Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)			
	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
Groundwater	0%	0%	45%	
	The majority of the south parcel and north western corner of th located within a 1km² grid square with a 75% or greater ris emergence during a 1 in 100 event, and is therefore identified Elsewhere, the risk of groundwater flooding is low, with groundwater emergence.		reater risk of groundwater e identified as at high risk.	
Reservoir The north western portion and western boundary of the so identified as at risk of reservoir flooding. The Stroudwater Canal flows through the south parcel. The flooding to the site, in the event of overtopping of the canal, shoulin greater detail within a site-specfic Flood Risk Assessment.				
			canal, should be assessed	



Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

		Defence Type	Standar	d of Protecti	ion Co	ndition
	Defences	There are no defences located within the site. The nearest defence is an earth embankment, built to 'agricultural standards' and located on the opposite bank of the River Frome to the south parcel. However the site is not identified as benefitting from this defence.				
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no culverts located wit boundary. The River Frome and its unnamed the north parcel are culverted bene The RoFSW extent at the site proxy of the residual flood risk to the event of blockage to these culverted benefits to the site proxy of the residual flood risk to the event of blockage to these culverted benefits to the site proxy of the residual flood risk to the sit		tributary at ath the M5. provides a the site, in	
	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	The north western portion and western boundary of the south parcel are identified as at risk of reservoir flooding, in the event of a breach.			dentified as
				may be at residual risk of flooding al overtopping or breach.		
	Flood warning The site is located within the following Environment Flood Warning Areas: River Frome at Fromebridge and Environment Flood Alert			Eastington Flood Warning Area		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access is likely to be either via the A419, which runs inbetween the two subsection areas of the site, or via the M5. The A419 is at very low risk of both fluvial and surface water flooding. The M5 at the south parcel is predicted to be at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood event, where the River Frome passes beneath it. The sections of the M5 adjacent to both the north and south parcel is at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall and greater return periods.			risk of both g during a 1 eath it. The	
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	Severn 25% 38		35%	70%	
Change	Implications for the site	Modelling shows that the extent of the Flood Zone 3a + 70% climate change uplift extends marginally beyond that of Flood Zone 3a (1 in 100 event) in the west of the site, but does not exceed Flood Zone 2 (1 in 1,000 event).				



Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

	Bedrock Geology	The underlying geology is Lia sandstone.	as Group mudstone	, siltstone, limestone and				
	Superficial Geology		Superficial alluvium and river terrace deposits are located over a large area the site, with the exception of the eastern portion of the north parcel.					
	Soils	The north parcel and the eastern portion of the south pracel are underlailime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage. The southern at western areas of the south parcel are underlain by loamy and clayey floor soils with naturally high groundwater.						
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. Severn Trent Water has flagged the site as being at high risk for surface water drainage, as there are no surface water sewers in the vicinity of the site, and no watercourses nearby. Surface water should be managed on site, through the use of SuDS. If infiltration techniques are not feasible on the site, early consultation with Seve Trent Water and Gloucestershire County Council (as LLFA) is recommended, to secure a suitable surface water discharge destination. Due to the high groundwater levels, and risk of groundwater flooding infiltration techniques are unlikely to be suitable. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluv flood risk. Below-ground SuDS features in areas of high groundwater on the s may need to be lined, to prevent the ingress of groundwater and los of attenuation storage. 						
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a designated Source Protection Zo		ce Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites recorded within the site boundary.						
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing flood water from the River Frome, is reduce the flow and delay the timing in which it reaches downstream. This may provide benefit during periods of high tide or high river level on the River Severn, when the River Frome becomes tide-locked and flows on the river back up.						
		Water Framework Directive Catchment Sensitivity to cumulative impacts Implications						



Site code	PS20
Site name	M5 Junction 13

Cumulative impacts of development	River Frome – Ebley Mill to Severn	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------	---

Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is expected that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Recommendations for Local Plan policy

Flood risk assessment:

- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is partially within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA), the Lower Severn IDB and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.

water flood risk.



Site code		PS20
Site name		M5 Junction 13
	steered aw spaces as • The site la rainfall in e risks to ped	expment should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be ray from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these green infrastructure. In any drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the rope and property.
	 Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fl and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow ro Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of su 	



Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 75131 00558	SO 75131 00558				
	Area	7.07 ha					
	Current land use	Agricultural land					
	Proposed site use	Residential					
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses		There are no watercourses within the site, however the River Cam flows along the western boundary.			flows along	
	Flood history	The flood extent fro exceedance) is with In addition, the follo downstream of the 22/05/200 open space 24/09/1998	open space (GL11 5LQ)				
		P	roport	ion of s	ite at risk in Flo	ood Zones	
Sources of		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	3 4%	d Zone Bb AEP n 25)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1
iiood iiok			2	2%	1%	2%	95%
	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency River Cam and Wickster 1D hydraulic model, which was completed in 2007. The site does not from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed				s not benefit	
		Flood characteristics: The south western border of the site is located within the functional flot the River Cam, defined here as the 1 in 25 flood event, as well as 1 in 1,000 flood events. Modelled peak flood levels on the River Cam range from 35.44mAOD to 36.03mAOD (1 in 1,000) at the northwest corner of the site, to 35.56mAOD (1 in 100) and 36.18mAOD (1 in 1,000) at the southwest				1 in 100 and OD (1 in 100) to between	
		,			of site at risk (R		
	Surface Water	1 in 30			1 in 100	1 in	1,000
		2%			3%	7	' %



Site code		PS25					
Site name		East of River Cam					
		Description of surface water flow paths: The south western border of the site is predicted to experience surface wat flooding during the 1 in 30 and greater flood events. However, this is associat with the low-lying floodplain of the River Cam and therefore should not considered in addition to fluvial risk. Two small surface water flow paths cross the centre and northern edge of t site in a westerly direction during the 1 in 1,000 event, before entering the Riv Cam.			associated ould not be edge of the		
		Areas Susceptible			· Flooding M nergence)	lap class (ri	sk of
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%		WF - Cat =50% <7		ASTGWF - C	5%
		0%		0%		0%	
	B	The site is at low risk of gr			gence auring	a 1 in 100 e	vent.
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.					
	Canal	There are no canals within the site boundary.					
	Defences	Defence Type		_	tandard of Protection	Co	ondition
		There are no flood defences within the site.					
Flood risk management infrastructure	nagement Culvert / Structure bio		There are no culverts or structures adjacent to the site which pose a brisk. Middle Mills culvert is locate north east of the site, and Statio culvert is located 95m to the However, due to the surratopography, blockage of these structure not considered to pose a risk of flothe site. This should be assess confirmed within a site-specific Flothese Assessment.		a blockage cated 30m ation Road the south. surrounding tructures is flooding to essed and		
		Impounded water body failure?		The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding, in			
		Defence breach /		the event of a breach event. Breach Zone			
		overtopping?		There are no defences within the			
	Flood warning	The site is not included w Warning Area.	ith an Er	nvironme	nt Agency Fl	ood Alert Ar	ea or Flood
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access to the proposed site is likely to be from the south or the west of the solid lift access is taken from the south, a connecting road would be required for upthorpe road, which is at risk of surface water flooding during the 1 in 100 1 in 1,000 rainfall events. Alternatively, access from the west could be via Rowley. Access from this sid the site would require a new access bridge over the River Cam, which would at high risk of fluvial flooding.			quired from in 100 and this side of		
Climate	Climate change	River Basin Di	strict		Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Change	allowances for '2080s'	Severn			25%	35%	70%



Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

	Implications for the site	As the Cam and Wickster's Brook is 1D-only, Flood Zone 2 has been used as a proxy for the Flood Zone 3a + 35% and 70% climate change extents. This indicates that climate change will result in an increase in flood risk during the 1% AEP flood event (Flood Zone 3a), although it may give a conservative account of risk.
	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Blue Lias Formation and Charmouth Mudstone Formation (undifferentiated)
	Superficial Geology	None recorded on the site.
	Soils	Soils on the site are slowly permeable, slightly acid, but base-rich loamy and clayey soils, which are seasonally wet.
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. Severn Trent Water has identified a Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) crossing the River Cam, at which several pollution incidents have previously been reported. Spill of the CSO may increase in frequency as a result of this development, and therefore surface water drainage should follow the discharge hierarchy and avoid connection into the combined sewer network. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature and therefore there is likely to be limited potential for discharge of surface water by infiltration. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing.
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the proposed boundary.

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

Requirement for drainage control and	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	limited to the current value. Discharge to the River Cam should be limited			
cumulative impacts of development		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications	
	impacts of	The Cam – Source to confluence with Gloucester and Sharpness Canal	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects	

Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

Recommendations for Local Plan

policy

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.



Site code	PS25
Site name	East of River Cam

- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.



Site code		PS30								
Site name		Hunts Grove Extension								
Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 80507 11791								
	Area	34.89								
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield							
	Proposed site use	Residential / Comm	nunity / Open Spac	e						
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable								
	Existing watercourses	There are no existing watercourses within the site boundary. An ordinary watercourse, Beaurepair Brook, which forms a tributary of the Epney Rhyne watercourse, is located at the southern boundary of the site. The Shorn Brook is located approximately 200m north of the site.								
	Flood history	There are no record vicinity of the site.	ded flood incidents	located within	the site, or wit	thin the				
		F	Proportion of site	at risk in Floo	d Zones					
		Proportion of site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 4% AEP (1 in 25)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1				
			N/A (3%)	3%	6%	91%				
	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The Flood Zones in this location are generated from national broadscale modelling, rather than a detailed hydraulic model. No 5% AEP (1 in 20) results were available for the Beaurepair Brook, and therefore the results for 1% AEP (Flood Zone 3a) have been used as a proxy for Flood Zone 3b.								
Sources of flood risk		Flood characteristics: The south of the site is affected by flooding from the Beaurepair Brook during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) fluvial flood event. Flooding is predicted to pond against an area of high ground beyond the south west corner of the site, and during a 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) event, flooding extends northwards, to cover the western border of the site, as well as a greater area of the south east corner of the site. The remaining areas of the site are predicted to be at very low risk of fluvial flooding.								
			Proportion of s	ite at risk (Ro	FSW)					
		3.3% AEP (1 in	-	P (1 in 100)		(1 in 1,000)				
		0%		2%	3	1%				
	Surface Water	Description of sur The site is at low western and south risk, with flooding p (1 in 100) rainfall et In a 0.1% AEP (1 in extend considerable should be noted the low-lying floodplain overlap between flood	risk of flooding fr western higher correlated to occur of vent. n 1,000) rainfall ev ly, to cover the sor at this area of surfact of the Beaurepair	om surface we ners of the site during a 3.3% ent, surface we thern and we ace water por Brook, and the	are at higher s AEP (1 in 30) ater flooding is stern borders ading is associ erefore there i	surface water and 1% AEP s predicted to of the site. It ated with the				
	Groundwater	Areas Susce	eptible to Ground groundwat	water Floodin er emergence		risk of				



Site code		PS30						
Site name		Hunts Grove Extension						
		ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	AST	GWF - Cate >=50% <75		ASTG	WF - C >=75	ategory 4 %
		0%		0%		%		
		The site has a low likelihoo	od of g	groundwater	emergen	ce.		
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of flo	The site is not at risk of flooding, in the event of a reservoir breach.					
	Canal	There are no canals within	There are no canals within the site boundary or in close proximity.					
	Defences	Defence Type		Standard of Protection Condition				ndition
		There are no flood defenc	es with	nin the site.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage? Culvert / structure blockage? There are no culverts of site. The Beaurepair Bruculverted beneath A4008 west of the site. However, RoFSW mapping both duculvert beneath this roapossible the mapping 'blocked' scenario on the impact of blockage to the assessed in detail within a		air Broo A4008 E vever, th oth do is road. ping re on the si to the st	Brook appears to be 08 Bath Road, at the er, the Flood Zone and do not represent the oad. Therefore, it is prepresents a fully ne site. However, the ne structure should be			
				The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding, in the event of a breach event.				looding, in
		Defence breach / overtopping?		the event		ach Zor		
				There are no defences within the site boundary.				
	Flood warning	The site is not included within an Environment Agency Flood Alert Area or Warning Area.						
Emergency planning	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed either via Haresfield Lane, at the northern boundary of the site, or A4008 Bath Road at the western boundary. The road is at low risk of surface water flooding, with localised ponding predicted to occur between Colethrop Farm and the M5, during a 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall event. Surfce water flood risk on the road increases towards the M5, with flooding predicted to occur during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event, which may restrict access to the south. On A4008 Bath Road, surface water flooding is relatively low. Predicted flooding is concentrated arounf the roundabout connecting the A38 and the B4008, with isolated ponding occurring during a 3.3% (1 in 30) rainfall event, and becoming more extensive during a 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall event. The M5 is also likely to be a key transport link for travelling to the site. The site					g predicted 1 in 1,000) ne M5, with which may ed flooding 4008, with becoming	
	Climate change	River Basin Di	strict		Central		gher	Upper
	allowances for '2080s'	Severn			25%		ntral 5%	End 75%
Climate			ed hvd	raulic model				
Change	Implications for the site	Due to the lack of a detailed hydraulic modelling in this location, Flood Zo has been used as a proxy for the Flood Zone 3a + 35% and 70% climate change extents. This indicates that climate change will result in an increa flood risk during the 1% AEP flood event (Flood Zone 3a), although it may a conservative account of risk.			nate crease in			



Site code		PS30					
Site name		Hunts Grove Extension					
	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain with the Lias group, consisting of mudstone, siltstone, limestone and sandstone.					
	Superficial Geology	The site is not overlain with superficial geological deposits.					
Soils Freely draining lime-rich loamy soils • As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to							
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground, natural SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits (including biodiversity, amenity and water quality improvements). Severn Trent Water has flagged parts of the site as being at high risk for surface water drainage, as there are no surface water sewers in the vicinity of the site, and connection distances into the nearest watercourses may be large. If infiltration techniques are not feasible on the site, early consultation with Severn Trent Water and Gloucestershire County Council (as LLFA) is recommended, to secure a suitable surface water discharge destination. As one of several sites within a large area of growth, it is recommended that an overarching drainage strategy is developed across the nearby sites (PS31, PS32, G1, PS43), in consultation with Severn Trent Water and Gloucestershire County Council (as LLFA). The impermeable underlying geology suggests that discharge of the site via infiltration is unlikely to be feasible. However, this should be confirmed within a site-specific drainage strategy. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. 					
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.					
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites with landfill is located approximately 1km to					
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The large site provides opportunities for the temporary storage of fluvial and surface water during times of flood					
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulativ e impacts	Implications			
	Cumulative Impacts of development	Epney Rhyne – source to conference River Severn Estuary	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects			

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS30
Site name	Hunts Grove Extension

Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.

Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.

- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS30
Site name	Hunts Grove Extension
Site code	PS33
Site name	Northwest of Berkeley

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 68233 00017						
	Area	6.51 ha						
	Current land use	Greenfield						
	Proposed site use	Residential						
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable						
	Existing watercourses	An unnamed ordinary watercourse, which forms a tributary of the Little Avon, flows in a southerly direction along the western boundary of the site. The site is located within the Lower Severn IDB.						
	Flood history	as a result of chann Downstream of the • 07/07/200 Berkeley – foul/combi • 28/10/201	Berkeley – external flooding to property garden from exceedance of foul/combined sewer network.					
		Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zones						
		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	5	od Zone 3b 5% AEP 1 in 20)	Flood Zon 1% AEI (1 in 100	>	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	
Sources of flood risk			N	/A (30%)	30%		8%	
Fluvial Available modelled data: Records indicate that 2D broadscale hydraulic modelling h for the watercourse along the western boundary of the s included in the Flood Zones. However there are no detailed the site. With the absence of a 1 in 20 or 1 in 25 modelled watercourse, the 1 in 100 flood extent has been used to rep floodplain. The site benefits from flood defence, how broadscale modelling represents the undefended scenario. Flood characteristics: The western portion of the site is identified as at risk of flux 1 in 100 flood event, with flooding extending further into the during a 1 in 1,000 event.						the site tailed not delled to represent to hower nario.	e, which has been nodelling results for flood extent for the esent the functional ever the available all flooding during a	
				oortion of site	at risk (RoF	SW)		
	Surface Water	3.3% AEP (1 in 3	30)	1% AEP ((1 in 100)	0.1%	AEP (1 in 1,000)	
		3%		59	%		10%	



Site code		PS30						
Site name		Hunts Grove Extension						
		Description of surface was The site is at very low risk area at the north west corn flooding during a 1 in 30 rai corner of the site is also at 1,000 rainfall event.	of suner the infall e	rface wate e site is ide event and g	r flooding of entified at l reater retui	high ris rn perio	k of sui ds. Sou	face water ith-western
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)						sk of
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	AST	GWF - Cat >=50% <7		ASTG	WF - C >=75	ategory 4
	Groundwater	55%		0%			0%)
		The southern area of the si the chance of groundwater grid square, during a 1 in 1	r emei	rgence is b				
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of floo	oding	from reser	voirs.			
	Canal	There are no canals within	the si	ite boundaı	у.			
		Defence Type		Standar	d of Prote	ction	Co	ndition
	Defences	There are no defences within the site, although the site is identified as benefitting from the defence provided by flood embankments downstream on the Berkeley Pill.						
Flood risk management					e no culve site bound		structur	es located
infrastructure	Residual risk	Impounded water body failure? The site is not at risk of reservoir floor			ooding.			
		overropping?		Breach Zone The site is not at risk of defence breach or				
				The site is not at risk of defence breach or overtopping.				
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The site is located within the following Environment Agency Flood Warning an Flood Alert Areas: • Flood Warning Area: Severn Estuary from Sharpness to Oldbury-or Severn • Flood Alert Area: Severn Estuary at Oldbury-on-Severn, Northwick an Avonmouth					Oldbury-on-	
	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed via the B4066 along the northern by the site. The road is at risk of fluvial flooding during a 1 in 100 flood even the unnamed Little Avon tributary is culverted below the road due to elevation. The route is not identified as at risk of surface water flooding the site is not identified as at risk of surface water flooding the northern by the site is likely to be accessed via the B4066 along the northern by the site. The road is at risk of surface water flooding the northern by the site.						vent, where o its raised
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin Dis	strict		Central		gher ntral	Upper End
	'2080s'	South West			30%	4	0%	85%
Climate Change	Implications for the site	South West 30% 40% 85% The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in 100 + climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 within the site, which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial flooding to the site. It should be noted that, due to the lack of a detailed hydraulic model in this location, Flood Zone 2 has been used as a proxy for the Flood Zone 3a + 35% and 70% climate change extents, and therefore it may give a conservative account of risk.					the site, ivial led roxy for the	



Site code		PS30					
Site name		Hunts Grove Extension					
	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Raglan Mudstone Formation, a series of interbedded Siltstone and Mudstone.					
	Superficial Geology	None recorded.					
	Soils	Soils on the site are slightly acid loamy drainage.	and clayey soils with	impeded			
Requirement for drainage	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of t Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is moderate r of groundwater flooding, therefore there is likely to be limited poter for discharge of surface water by infiltration. However, the potential infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration test 					
impact mitigation Cumulative	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.					
impacts of development	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed boundary.					
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Development should seek to strictly limit the rate and volumes of surface wat leaving the site, to help alleviate sewer flooding issues at downstream Berkeley. Temporary storage of flood waters on the site would help to reduce and delay the timing of flows entering the Little Avon.					
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications			
	Cumulative impacts of development Little Avon – confluence with Tortworth Brook to mouth		Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.			
	Seguential Test an	d Exception Test requirements					

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS30
Site name	Hunts Grove Extension

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority, the Lower Severn IDB and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate. It is recommended that a detailed hydraulic model is carried out for the site to accurately understand flood risk, and the impacts of climate change, to the site. The Environment Agency and LLFA should be consulted to obtain the latest hydraulic modelling information for the site at the time of the flood risk assessment.
- A detailed assessment of the risk and location of high groundwater levels and groundwater emergence should be undertaken, including groundwater monitoring during the winter months.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA), the Lower Severn IDB and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code		PS30
Site name		Hunts Grove Extension
	Considerat water flood	ion should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface risk.



Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 67206 02559									
	Area	96.23 ha									
	Current land use	Docks and associate	e infrastructure								
	Proposed site use	Mixed development									
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable									
	Existing watercourses	The tidal River Severn forms the western and northern boundaries of the site. The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal crosses the site in a south-easterly direction from the north-eastern corner, before it joins the River Severn estuary. An unnamed ordinary watercourse also joins the canal from the eastern boundary of the site.									
	Flood history	Flooding of the north occurred in July 196			the site is reco	recorded to have					
				e at risk in Floo	od Zones						
		Fluvial/Tidal - Proportion of the site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	0.2% AEP (1 in 200)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP	Zone 1					
			6%	7%	(1 in 1,000) 3%	90%					
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial / Tidal	Available modelled data: The site is covered by the Environment Agency 2007 Tidal River Severn hydraulic model. Detailed modelling is available for the River Severn; however, due to the tidal influence at Sharpness, a number of scenarios are available, combining river-dominant with a low tide, and tidal-dominant with a low river event. Therefore, Flood Zone 3b was unavailable for this site. Flood Zone 3a can be used as an indication of Flood Zone 3b in the absence of modelled data. Flood characteristics: The northern boundary and western corner of the site, which border the River Severn are identified as at risk of integrated fluvial and tidal flooding during a 1 in 100, 1 in 200 and 1 in 1,000 tidal flood event on the River Severn. The flood extent at the western edge of the site increases to meet the Gloucester and Sharpness Canal during the 1 in 1,000 event.									
			Proportion of	site at risk (Ro							
		1 in 30		1 in 100 3%		1 in 1,000					
	Surface Water	Description of surf Overall, the site is a the mapping identition as being at risk, and the surface water reastern boundary and during the 1 in 30 everages of ponding the topography.	rpness Canal overestimate as along the sk of flooding e are isolated								



Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)		
Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%	
	57%	0%	0%	
	The site is at low to moderate risk of groundwater flooding, with a 25-50% chance of groundwater emergence within a given 1km ² grid square, during a 1 in 100 event.			
Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoi	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.		
Canal	The Gloucester and Sharpness Canal flows through the site. Thre are no recorded incidents of breach or overtopping of the canal in this location. However, the residual risk of flooding to the site from the canal should be assessed within a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.			



Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

		Defence Type	Standard of Protection Condition		ondition
Flood risk management infrastructure	Defences	High ground: - Old tidal basin entrance - Old lock entrance - Dock houses defence - North part of old tidal basin - Sharpness Dock entrance - High ground around Sharpness Docks - Old rail crossing to old lock	Unknown		Fair (3)
		The northern and western boundaries of the site, bordering the River Severn, are formed of high ground, due to their use former lock and tidal basin entrances, and also former rail crossings. The standard of protection is unknown, however a very small area in the south west of the site, at the confluence between the canal and River Severn is identified as benefitting from the defence of the Sharpness Dock entrance.			
	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage?	There is no risk to the site from of culvert or structure blockage.		of culvert or
		Impounded water body failure?	The site is not at risk of reservoir breach.		
			Breach Zone		
		Defence breach / overtopping?	There is a residual risk of flooding to the site should the high ground at the site boundary be overtopped. This should be investigated within a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.		
Emergency planning	Flood warning	An area along the northern and western boundary of the site is within EA flo warning and alert areas. • Flood Alert Area: Severn Estuary at Oldbury-on-Severn, Northwick a Avonmouth • Flood Warning Areas: Severn Estuary from Sharpness to Oldbury-on Severn and Sharpness and Lydney Harbour on the Severn Estuary			orthwick and Oldbury-on-
	Access and egress	The site is likely to be accessed from Oldminster Road along the eastern boundary of the site. A small section of this route is affected by surface water flooding during a 1 in 1,000 rainfall event. Otherwise, the risk along this access route is low.			
Climate Change	Climate change allowances for '2080s'	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
		Severn	25%	35%	70%
	Implications for the site	The site is likely to be impacted by climate change. The extent of the 1 in 100 + climate change flood event is greater than that of the 1 in 100 event within the site, which indicates that climate change is likely to increase the risk of fluvial and tidal flooding to the site.			



Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Raglan Mudstone Formation interbedded Siltstone and Mudstone			
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	Superficial Geology	The site is overlain by deposits of the Holt Heath Sand and Gravel member			
	Soils	Soils on the site are slightly acid loamy and clayey, with impeded drainage			
	SuDS	 As a large previously developed site, opportunities should be taken to reduce the coverage of impermeable surfaces on the site, and to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is moderate risk of groundwater flooding, therefore there is likely to be limited potential for discharge of surface water by infiltration. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Drainage proposals to the Severn should be designed to take account of the consequences of tidal effects (such as tide locking of systems and how these will change as a consequence of predicted sea level rise over the lifetime of development). 			
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site.			
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Opportunities to implement systems that can accommodate climate change effects and provide betterment to existing drainage systems and channels.			
	Cumulative impacts of development	Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications	
		Coastal Catchment 1 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects	
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements				

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS34
Site name	Sharpness Docks

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water, tidal and groundwater.
- The residual risk of flooding to the site in the event of overtopping of the high ground bordering the site and overtopping of the Thames and Severn Canal should be assessed in further detail.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk on adjacent land.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 67293 00626	SO 67293 00626			
	Area	190.01 ha				
	Current land use	Agricultural land				
	Proposed site use	Residential				
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable				
	Existing watercourses	The site is formed of two land parcels, located either side of B4066 and the railway line. The River Severn forms the western boundary of the larger, southern land parcel, and the Main River Little Avon forms the southern boundary. Three ordinary watercourses cross the north, west and south of the parcel, and either drain westwards directly into the River Severn or south westwards into the Little Avon. In the smaller northern parcel of land, an ordinary watercourse tributary of the River Severn flows east to west across the site. The site is located within the Lower Severn IDB.				
	Flood history	November 2012 as	The south west of the southern land parcel is recorded as having flooded in November 2012 as a result of fluvial flooding from the Little Avon. The site is not recorded as having flooded from the River Severn directly.			
			Fluvial / Tida	I (River Sever	n)	
		Proportion of the	Flood Zone 3a	0.2% AEP	Flood Zone	Flood
		site at risk (%)	1% AEP	(1 in 200)	2	Zone 1
			(1 in 100)		0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	
			35%	36%	5%	60%
				Little Avon)		
Sources of flood risk		Proportion of the site at risk (%)	5% AEP	1% AEP	0.1% AEP	Flood Zone 1
		Site at 113k (70)	11%	11%	5%	73%
		Range of depths (m)	0.01 - 0.39	0.02 - 0.63	0.05 - 1.78	N/A
	Fluvial / Tidal	Maximum hazard	1.5 – Significant (Danger to most)	2.0 – Significant (Danger to most)	2.2 – Extreme (Danger to all)	N/A
		Available modelled	l data:			
		The site is covered Little Avon as well a modelling is availab Sharpness, a numb low tide, and tidal-d unavailable for this s 3b in the absence of affect the sites that I	as the 2007 1D Tida le for the River Sever of scenarios are ominant with a low site. Flood Zone 3a f modelled data. The	al River Severnyern; however, available, com river event. The can be used as a lere are some ded in the model.	hydraulic mode due to the tidal abining river-dom erefore, Flood Z an indication of watercourses that lelling and so furt	ls. Detailed influence at inant with a one 3b was Flood Zone at potentially

required to understand the extent of Flood Zones and actual flood risk.



Site code		PS36			
Site name		New settlement at Sharpness			
		Flood characteristics: This section describes the undefended flood risk to the site. The northern, western and south-western areas of the site are at combined fluvial and tidal flood risk from the River Severn during the 1 in 100, 1 in 200 and 1 in 1,000 flood event. The south western portion of the site is also at risk of fluvial flooding from the Little Avon during a 1 in 5 flood event and greater return periods. Flooding initially occurs around the branched watercourse network at the south of the site, and then extends north westwards during a 1 in 50 event, ponding against the raised defences here, in the defended scenario.			
			oportion of si	te at risk (Ro	•
		1 in 30	1 in 100 2%	79	1 in 1,000
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: Surface water flood risk within the site is relatively low, with surface water mapping identifying the floodplains of sma ordinary watercourses. Excluding these areas, low-lying land adjacent to the River Severn is identified at risk of surface water flooding during the 1 in 1,000 rainfall event. Small surface water flow paths in both land parcels are predicted to form during the 1 in 1,000 event and flow into the adjacent ordinary watercourses.			rater flood risk within the site ring the floodplains of small River Severn is identified as rainfall event. Small surface of form during the 1 in 1,000
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)			class (risk of groundwater
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2			
		10%	0,	%	0%
		The site is at low to moderate risk of groundwater flooding, with a 25-50%chance of groundwater emergence within a given 1km² grid square, during a 1 in 100 event			
	Reservoir	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.			
	Canal	There are no canals witin the site.			



Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

		Defence Type	Stan	dard of Protec	ction	Condition	
		Coastal embankment		1 in 100		3 – Fair	
	Defences	protects against fluvial/tidal flood defends the southern land parce the River Severn. The limit of de	d risk fro d agains efence o	ne larger site there is an embankment that I risk from the River Severn, which entirely I against flooding from the 1 in 100 flood event on efence does not extend to the northern land the remains at risk of flooding from the Little Avon.			
Flood risk management infrastructure		Culvert / structure blockage?	In the smaller parcel of land, the waterc culverted below Saniger Lane. The residurisk associated with blockage at this should be considered within a site-specific			The residual flood at this location	
		Impounded water body failure?	The s breac		c of flooding	g due to reservoir	
	Residual risk			Bre	each Zone		
		Defence breach / overtopping?	The west of the site benefits from coastal defence. Therefore, consideration should be given to the possibility of this defence being overtopped, and the risk that this would pose to the southern land parcel. The residual risk to the site must be assessed in detail within a site-specific Food Risk Assessment.				
	The western areas of the larger s		site are	included within	n both Floo	d Alert and Flood	
Emergency planning	Flood warning	 Warning areas. Flood Alert Area: Severn Estuary at Oldbury-on-Severn, Northwick an Avonmouth Flood Warning Area: Severn Estuary from Sharpness to Oldbury-or Severn 			s to Oldbury-on-		
	Access and egress	Both of the parcels of land are likely to be accessed via the B4066 which passes between the two. At the northern edge of the larger site, the road is affected by the 1 in 100 fluvial flood event. The site is not at risk of surface water flooding.			is affected by the		
	Climate change	River Basin District		Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
Climate Change	allowances for	Severn		25%	35%	70%	
	_0000	South West		30%	40%	85%	



Site code	PS36	PS36			
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness				
Implications for the site	climate change flood eventidal) is greater than that or climate change is likely to In-channel peak water leverassessed in the centre of the climate change scenarios	acted by climate change. The for the upper end (+70% at the 1 in 100 event within the ncrease the risk of fluvial are less results for the Tidal Severate (Node 56) for the upper see table below). Both climate 1 in 200 tidal flood levels, whate change allowance.	nd +80% fluvial / to 2125 e site, which indicates that nd tidal flooding to the site. n model were also per end (+70%) and H++ ate change allowances saw		
	Scenario	Peak Water Level at site (Node 56) (mAOD)	Difference in water level with 1 in 200 baseline (mA)		
	1 in 200 – baseline	9.67	N/A		
	1 in 200 + 70% CC	11.37	+ 1.70		
	1 in 200 + 90% CC (H++)	11.63	+ 1.96		



Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

	Bedrock Geology	Raglan Mudstone Form	ation – Siltstone	and Mudstone			
	Superficial Geology		idal flat deposits (clay, silt and sand) and Cheltenham sand and gravel along the vestern border of the larger site.				
	Soils	Along the western border of the larger site there are areas of loamy and clayey soils of coastal flats with naturally high groundwater. The remaining area of the site is slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage.					
Requirement for drainage	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of t Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as p and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is moderate r groundwater flooding, however there are areas of superficial depose which may provide opportunity for shallow infiltration. To better understand the infiltration potential at the site, site-specific infiltrationsting will be required. Drainage proposals to the Severn should be designed to take according to the severn should be designed to t					
control and impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.					
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the proposed boundary.					
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities to provide storage of surface water and limit the rate and volume of water discharged from the site into the River Severn. This is likely to provide benefit during periods of high tide or high river level on the River Severn, when tributary watercourses and the Little Avon become tide-locked and back up. Opportunities should be taken to contribute to improvements of the existing flood embankment at the western site boundary, which is currently 'fair' condition.					
		Water Framework Directive Catchment Under Sensitivity to cumulative impacts Implications					
	Cumulative impacts of development	Coastal Catchment 1 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects			
		Coastal Catchment 2 (not part of a WFD river catchment)	Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered			



Site code		PS36		
Site name		New settlement at Shar	pness	
		Little Avon –		within a site-specific flood risk
		confluence with Tortworth Brook to mouth	Medium	assessment.
	Test be applied. It Flood Zone 1. For If More Vul If Highly Vu	is anticipated that prop this site, the Exception To nerable and Essential Inf ulnerable development is	osed developrest must be satisfastructure is locallocated in FZ2.	cated in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
	test is satisfied. Dev Highly Vulr	velopment will not be per	mitted in the folk in FZ3a or FZ3a	a plus climate change and FZ3b.
	Recommendations for developers	for requirements of sit	e-specific Floo	d Risk Assessment, including guidance
Recommend- ations for Local Plan policy	Flood risk ass At the plant drainage si Consultation early stage A site-spect and 3 and on flood assessmer Other sour assessmer Blockage most the culve The residue the site sho Climate che time of the allowances published i Subject to recomment the norther The site is developmed catchment Appropriate identify op developmed catchment, restoration Guidance for si The site be effects the must be	nning application stage, trategy will be required. In with the Local Authority of the control of the Local Authority of the Local Responsibility of the Local Responsibility of the Signature of the Local Responsibility of th	y and the Environt will be require oding other than st be followed is.). also be consider, tidal and ground ucted to assess the in the event of er detail. It is a using recommon to the subject to chavironment Agency and environment Agency and environment of the distribution of the evelopment of the distribution of the evelopment of the evelopment of the distribution of existing defense of existing defense of existing defense of the evelopment of existing defense of existing defense of the evelopment of the evelopment of evel	the residual risk associated with blockage of overtopping of the embankment bordering mended climate change allowances at the ce/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-vel of risk. The current allowances were mange in the future. Incy and Lead Local Flood Authority, it is the unmodelled ordinary watercourse within bood risk assessment. Inighly sensitive to the cumulative impact of the site may have on flood risk within the pecific flood risk assessment. In to be provided, and assessments should the to the cumulative impact of the position to the delivery of schemes within the period of the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the compact of the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the compact of the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the compact of the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the compact of the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the cumulative impact of the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the pecific flood Management measures are secured for the p



Site code	PS36
Site name	New settlement at Sharpness

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and off-site, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall
 in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to
 people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.



Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 74692 02678					
	Area	83.97 ha					
	Current land use	Agricultural land	and buildings				
	Proposed site use	Residential					
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses	The site is formed of two parcels of land, separated by the A4135 Draycott. In the eastern parcel of land, an ordinary watercourse tributary of the River of flows in a northerly direction to join the River Cam. In the western parcel of the Lighten Brook, an ordinary watercourse, flows in a north easterly direction through the centre of the site, and is culverted below Bristol Road at the western boundary of the site.				ver Cam el of land, ection	
	Flood history Flood				space and propert 2012, January 201 r 2013 (various) Ja ing to curtilage (GI	nd property curtilage nuary 2013 various) January –	
				of site at risk in Floo			
Sources of flood risk	the site at 3b 1% AEP sources of risk (%) 5% AEP (1 in 100)				Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1	
			N/A	0%	1%	99%	
	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The site is included within the Environment Agency 2007 River Cam a Brook 1D detailed hydraulic model. There are two watercourses that which are not represented within this model. The potential extent Zones and effect on the allocation proposals should be evaluated application of the sequential approach. The RoFSW dataset has assess fluvial flood risk for these watercourses. Flood characteristics: The north-western area of the most eastern parcel of land is identified during a 1 in 1,000 flood event on the River Cam. The small watercourses are not covered by detailed hydraulic models. Peak flood levels modelled on the River Cam at the north eastern eastern land parcel reach 16.0mAOD during a 1 in 100 event, and during a 1 in 1,000 event.				cross the land of the Flood to enable the been used to fied as at risk aller, ordinary corner of the	
		41.55	Proportio	on of site at risk (Ro	·		
	Surface Water	1 in 30 0%		1 in 100	1 in 1,00)0	
		U%	1	1%	3%		



Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

		are predicted to form on return periods. However topography of the ordin as a proxy for the fluvia parcel the ordinary wate 1,000 events. In the west of the parcel during a 1	or flood risk across the site is low. Surface water flow paths both land parcels during a 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater er, the mapping highlights that these follow the lower ary watercourses within the sites. Using the RoFSW data all flood risk from these watercourses, in the eastern land ercourse is at risk of flooding during the 1 in 100 and 1 in stern land parcel, flooding is predicted to occur in the south in 30 and greater events. An area of surface water ponding on the northern corner of the western land parcel, in a low			
		Areas Susceptible to	emerge	nce)		
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	2 ASTGWF - Cat >=50% <7			F - Category 4 >=75%
		10%	1%			89%
		The site is at high risk ogroundwater emergence				
	Reservoir	The north eastern corne reservoir breach.	er of the eastern la	nd parcel i	s at risk of	flooding during a
	Canal	There are no canals with	hin the site boundar	γ.		
	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protection		1	Condition
		There are no flood defences within the site boundary, or within the vicinity of the site.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage?	Road at the north eastern houndary of the site Blockage			beneath the M5, I beneath Bristol he site. Blockage kely to increase
		Impounded water body failure?	The north eastern risk of flooding du			
		Defence breach /		Breach	n Zone	
		overtopping? The eastern parcel of la	There are no flood			
	Flood warning	Area for the River From	e and Cam.			
Emergency planning	Access and egress The site is likely to be accessed from the A38 Bristol Road, which rule western boundary. Near the most western parcel of land, there is porcead which occurs during the 1 in 30 event and greater return periods eastern site, the road is at risk of flooding during a 1 in 100 and 1 in 1, the River Cam.				s ponding on the iods. North of the	
Change	Climate change allowances for	River Basin	District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Glialige	'2080s'	Severn		25%	35%	70%



Site code		PS37			
Site name		New settlement at Wisloe			
•		proxy for the Flood Zone 3 indicates that climate char AEP flood event (Flood Zorisk. In-channel peak water lev also assessed at the site (change scenarios (see tal significant increase in the	s Brook is 1D-only, Flood Zo 3a + 35% and 70% climate cl nge will result in an increase one 3a), although it may give el results for the Cam and W CAM2702) for the 1 in 100 + ole below). The +70% climate baseline 1 in 100 event wate The H++ scenario exceede Peak Water Level at site (CAM2702) (mAOD)	nange extents. This in flood risk during the 1% a conservative account of ickster's Brook model were 70% and H++ climate e change allowance saw a prievels, although it did not	
Implications for the site		reach the 1 in 1,000 event water levels.	The H++ scenario exceede Peak Water Level at site	d the 1 in 1,000 ev	

reach the 1 in 1,000 event. The H++ scenario exceeded the 1 in 1,000 event water levels.					
Scenario	Peak Water Level at site (CAM2702) (mAOD)	Difference in water level with 1 in 100 baseline (mA)			
1 in 100 – baseline	14.76	N/A			
1 in 1000 – baseline	15.14	+ 0.38			
1 in 100 + 70% CC	15.10	+ 0.34			
1 in 100 + 90% CC (H++)	15.17	+ 0.41			



Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by (undifferentiated)	Blue Lias Formation ar	nd Charmouth Mudstone Formation		
	Superficial Geology	Cheltenham Sand and Gravel deposits overlie the bedrock geology on the site.				
	Soils	Soils on the site are freely draining, lime-rich and loamy.				
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. Severn Trent Water has identified that there are no surface water in the vicinity of the site, and parts of the site may not be able to do into the River Cam. Surface water should be managed on site, three the use of SuDS. If infiltration techniques or discharge to watercoulare not feasible on the site, early consultation with Severn Trent Wand Gloucestershire County Council (as LLFA) is recommended, the secure a suitable surface water discharge destination. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as pand wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood. The site geology is impermeable in nature and there is a high risk groundwater flooding, therefore infiltration techniques are unlikely suitable. However, to better understand the infiltration potential at site, site-specific infiltration testing will be required. Below ground attenuation features may require an impermeable linensure storage capacity is not lost and there is no contamination tunderlying groundwater. 				
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.				
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the proposed boundary.				
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The majority of the proposed site is currently in a greenfield state and therefore post-development greenfield rates and volumes should be restricted to the existing rate. The site provides opportunities for the temporary storage of floodwaters, to reduce peak flows and downstream flood risk on the River Cam.				
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications		
	Cumulative impacts of development	The Cam – source to confluence with Gloucester and Sharpness Canal	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects		
	Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements					

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

restoration.

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2
 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance
 on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- A detailed assessment of the risk and location of high groundwater levels and groundwater emergence should be undertaken, including groundwater monitoring during the winter months, where required.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage
 of the culvert within the site.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Subject to consultation with the Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authority, it is recommended that detailed hydraulic modelling of the unmodelled ordinary watercourses within the western and eastern land parcels are carried out as part of a flood risk assessment. The Environment Agency and LLFA should be consulted to obtain the latest hydraulic modelling information for the site at the time of the flood risk assessment.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment. Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit or river

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and off-site, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from surface water flow routes and areas where groundwater risk is highest, preserving these areas as green infrastructure.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus 40% climate change rainfall
 event. Raising of access routes must not impact on flow routes. Consideration should be given
 to the siting of access points with respect to surface water flood risk areas.
- The design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	PS37
Site name	New settlement at Wisloe

- Mitigation for seasonal high groundwater levels must be considered (for example by raising finished floor levels to an appropriate height above ground level).
- Flow routes would need to be preserved if carrying out land-raising within the surface water risk area.
- The design of SuDS schemes must take into account the seasonally high groundwater table and low permeability. Infiltration techniques may be ineffective, and may pose a pollution risk. SuDS may need to be shallow and take up larger areas. Above ground conveyance and attenuation can be used but care must be taken that groundwater does not enter the SuDS feature and reduce the storage capacity and structural integrity of the design.
- Detailed site investigations will be required including infiltration testing and groundwater monitoring during the winter months (November through to March).



Site code	PS43
Site name	Javelin Park, Gloucester

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 79911 10649	SO 79911 10649				
	Area	26.98 ha					
	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed site use	Employment					
	Flood risk vulnerability	Less vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses	westwards along north of the site,	In ordinary watercourse, a tributary of the Beaurepair Brook, flows north restwards along the boundary of the site, and appears to pass through the orth of the site, and below the M5 motorway, within a culvert. However, there are no records of any culverts in the location of the site, with the EA asset dataset.				
	Flood history	The following flo Date of unknow	There are no historic outlines of fluvial flooding recorded at the site. The following flood incidents have been recorded in postcode area GL10 3DP: • Date of flooding not recorded - internal residential flooding from unknown sources. • Date of flooding not recorded - internal residential flooding from fluvial				
		Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zones					
		Proportion of site at risk (%)	Flood Zone 3b 5% AEP (1 in 20)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)	Flood Zone 1	
Sources of			N/A (0%)	0%	2%	98%	
flood risk	Fluvial	Available modelled data: The Flood Zones in this location are generated from national broadscale modelling, rather than a detailed hydraulic model. No 5% AEP (1 in 20) results were available for the Beaurepair Brook, and therefore the results for 1% AEP (Flood Zone 3a) have been used as a proxy for Flood Zone 3b. Within this assessment, RoFSW mapping has been used as a proxy for fluvial flood risk from this watercourse Flood characteristics: The north eastern border of the site is located within Flood Zone 2, and is at risk					
		of flooding during the 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) event from to the north west corner of the site is located within Flood Z at risk of flooding during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) event. The risk are at negligible risk of fluvial flooding. However, it should be found that the north represented within Flood Zone modelling.				repair Brook. 0.2%), and is g areas of the noted that the	
			Propo	rtion of site at risk	(RoFSW)		
	Surface Water	30-year		100-year	1,00	0-year	
		17%		20%	2	8%	



Site code	PS43				
Site name	Javelin Park, Gloucester				
	Description of surface water flow paths: The site is at high surface water flood risk, with runoff predicted to pond age the M5 motorway. A large area of the site, extending from the north to the so west, is at risk of flooding during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event. A fur area of ponding is predicted to form against the M5 embankment along western boundary in the south west corner of the site during a 3.3% AEP 30) and greater rainfall event. A surface water flow path is expected to deveroses the south east of the site during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) and 0.1% AE in 1,000) rainfall event. The RoFSW also appears to represent the fluvial flood risk associated with ordinary watercourse which borders the site. The mapping indicates that floof from the watercourse the watercourse during a 1% (1 in 100) and 0.1% AE in 1,000) event, which contributes to the surface water flood risk associated the flow path at the south east of the site.				
	-	to Groundwater Flooding groundwater emergence)	•		
Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%		
	0% 0% 0% The site has a low likelihood of groundwater emergence.				
Reservoir	The site is not at risk of flooding, in the unlikely event of a reservoir bre				
Canal	The site is not within close	proimity to a canal.			



Site code	PS43
Site name	Javelin Park, Gloucester

	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of	Protection	Condition	
	Deletices	There are no flood defences within the site.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no mapped culverts or structures within the site boundary, although a tributary of the Beaurepair Brook appears to pass through the north of the site, and below the M5 motorway, within a culvert. Using the RoFSW a proxy, as it does not represent the culvert below the M5, a blockage to the culvert is predicted to cause flooding to the northern portion of the site. However, fluvial flood risk to this, as well as the residual risk to the site in the event of a blockage, should be assessed within a site-specific flood risk assessment.			
		Impounded water body failure?	The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding, in the event of a breach event.			
		Defence breach / overtopping?	Breach Zone			
			There are no defences within the site boundary.			
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by an Environment Agency Flood Warning or Flood Alert Area.				
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access to the site is likley to be via the B4008 Gloucester Road, at the eastern border of the site. The road is predicted to experience surface water flooding during the 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events, particularly where the south east corner of the site borders the B4008. The M5, at the western ste boundary, may also provide an access route to the site. The M5 is at high risk of fluvial flooding during a 1% AEP (1 in 100) flood event, as well as at surface water flood risk during a 3.3% AEP (1 n 30) rainfall event.				
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin District	Central	Higher Central	Upper End	
'2080s'	Severn	25%	35%	70%		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	Due to the lack of a detailed hydraulic modelling in this location, Flood Zone 2 has been used as a proxy for the Flood Zone 3a + 35% and 70% climate change extents. This indicates that climate change will result in an increase in flood risk during the 1% AEP flood event (Flood Zone 3a), although it may give a conservative account of risk.				

PS43

Site code



	Javelin Park, Gloucester		
Bedrock Geology The site is underlain by Limestone and Sandsto	the LIAS group, and consist of Mudstone, Siltstone, one.		
Superficial Geology The site is not covered	by superficial deposits.		
Soils Lime-rich loamy and cla	ime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage.		
Requirement for drainage control and impact Requirement for drainage control and impact incorporate at multiple benefi improvements it is recommer across the near Severn Trent of Severn Tr	developed site, opportunities should be taken to cove ground, natural SuDS features, which provide its (including biodiversity, amenity and water quality). As one of several sites within a large area of growth, need that an overarching drainage strategy is developed arby sites (PS31, PS32, G1, PS43), in consultation with Water and Gloucestershire County Council (as LLFA). Water has flagged parts of the site as being at high risk ter drainage, as there are no surface water sewers in the site, and connection distances into the nearest may be large. If infiltration techniques are not feasible rly consultation with Severn Trent Water and re County Council (as LLFA) is recommended, to ble surface water discharge destination.		

flood risk.

Groundwater

Protection Zone

Historic Landfill

Opportunities for

flood risk

betterment

Source

Site

Severn Trent Water and Gloucestershire County Council (as LLFA). The impermeable underlying geology suggests that discharge of the site via infiltration is unlikely to be feasible. However, this should be

Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial

confirmed within a site-specific drainage strategy.

The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone.

The greenfield site provides opportunities for the temporary storage of fluvial

Opportunities for using source control SuDS to manage runoff rates and

volumes, contributing to the reduction of existing surface water flow paths

Sensitivity to

cumulative

impacts

Implications

There are no historic landfill sites within the site boundary.

leaving the site, and flood peaks downstream on Epney Rhyne.

and surface water during times of flood.

Water Framework Directive

Catchment

PS43

drainage strategy will be required.

flood

auidance



Site name	e name Javelin Park, Gloucester			
	Cumulative impacts of development	Epney Rhyne – source to conference River Severn Estuary	High	FRA should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects
	Sequential Test an	d Exception Test requirement	s	
	The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:			
	change.	 If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change. 		
	 If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2. If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios: 			
	 Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b. 			
	Recommendations guidance for devel	for requirements of site-s opers	pecific Flood R	Risk Assessment, including
	Flood risk ass	essment:		
	 At the plan 	nning application stage, a site-s	pecific flood risk a	assessment and surface water

Recommendations for Local Plan policy

Site code

(https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
 Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.

risk

A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government

assessments

must

- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with blockage of the culvert within the site.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.

followed

surface water flood risk.



Site code		PS43
Site name		Javelin Park, Gloucester
steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface spaces as green infrastructure. The site layout and drainage design must ensure the rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed the risks to people and property.		byout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from xcess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise people and property.
•		ss and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow

routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of



Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

Site details	OS Grid reference	ST 73537 92666	ST 73537 92666				
	Area	16.18 ha					
	Current land use	Agricultural land an	nd existin	ng prop	erty		
	Proposed site use	Employment					
	Flood risk vulnerability	Less vulnerable					
	Existing watercourses	The Marlees Brook, a Main River and tributary of the Little Avon River, flows along the northern site boundary, from east to west. A large pond is located approximately 500m to the north west of the site.					
	There are no historic flood extents or incident boundary. An incident of groundwater flooding the south west of the site, and appears to have there are few details on the property affected.				ater flooding was ears to have affo	recorded within the site was recorded on 01/11/2014 to	
		F	Proporti	ion of s	ite at risk in Flo	ood Zones	
		Proportion of the site at risk	Flood 3		Flood Zone 3a	Flood Zone 2	Flood Zone 1
	Fluvial	(%)	5% /		1% AEP	0.1% AEP	20110
			(1 in	•	(1 in 100)	(1 in 1,000)	
		Dan we of double	19		0%	3%	96%
		Range of depths (m)	0.01	- 1.0	0.01 - 0.84	0.02 - 0.96	N/A
		Maximum hazard	0.5 -	- 1.5	0.5 – 1.6	0.5 - 2.1	NA
Sources of flood risk		Available modelled data: The Environment Agency detailed 1D-2D FM-TUFLOW hydraulic model of the Little Avon, which was completed in 2016, covers the site.					
		Flood characteristics: The northern boundary of the site is at risk of flood during a 1 in 20 event on the Marlees Brook, and the risk of flooding during in 100 event covers a very similar flood extent. The risk of flooding during a 1,000 event extends to the north east corner of the site, covering a larger are				ng during a 1 during a 1 in	
			Prop		of site at risk (R	•	
		1 in 30			1 in 100		1,000
		1%			2%		! %
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: Surface water flood risk to the site is relatively low. The northern and south eastern site perimeters are at risk of flooding during the 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater return periods. In addition, ponding is predicted to occur around the existing buildings at the centre of the site, near Lower Barns Farm. In addition, a surface water flow path is predicted to form in the east of the site during the 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 rainfall events, and flows eastwards into the Marlees Brook.					
		Areas Susce			ındwater Floodi water emergend		risk of
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Catego >=25% <50%	ory 2	ASTG	WF - Category 3 =50% <75%	3 ASTGWF -	Category 4 75%



Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

		0%	0%		0%	6
		The site is at relatively low risk of groundwater flooding, with a less than 25% risk of occurring within the surrounding 1km ² grid cell during a 1 in 100 groundwater flood event.				
	Reservoir	The site is not identified as at reservoir flood risk.				
	Canal	The site is not identified as at	The site is not identified as at risk of flooding from canals.			
		Defence Type	Standar	d of Protec	tion C	ondition
	Defences	There are no flood defences le vicinity of the site.	cated within	the site bou	ndary or with	in the
Flood risk management		Culvert / structure blockage?	There are no culverted watercourse the site boundary. However, the M Brook is culverted or bridged below access tracks which are located to and west of the site. A blockage to the beneath the western access track may residual flood risk to the north western of the site.		e Maerlees low the two to the east o the culvert may pose a	
infrastructure	nfrastructure Residual risk	Impounded water body failure?	the event pond is north we overtopp travel so	The site is not identified at risk of flooding in the event of reservoir failure. However, a large pond is located approximately 500m to the north west of the site. In the event of overtopping of this lake, flood waters would travel south eastwards into the Marlees Brook which could impact flooding at the site.		
		Defence breach /		Brea	ch Zone	
		overtopping?		e no flood f the site.	defences loc	ated in the
	Flood warning	The site is located within the Environment Agency Little Avon Catchment ar the Vale of Berkeley Flood Alert Area.			chment and	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access to the site is likely to be via the B4058 road, located to the south of the site. The B058 is very at low fluvuial flood risk (within Flood Zone 1). The majority of the road is also at very low risk of surface water flooding, with the exception of the roundabout at the south eastern corner of the site, which is at risk of flooding during a 1 in 30 rainfall event and greater retiurn periods. The two existing access roads located on the east and west site boundary, which could also be used for access. The northern end of these access roads is at risk of flooding during the 1 in 20, 1 in 100 and 1 in 1,000 flood events. The eastern access road is also at risk of surface water flooding during a 1 in 30 event and			The majority e exception is at risk of adary, which ads is at risk The eastern	
		greater return periods, particu				Homes
	Climate change allowances for	River Basin Distri	τ	Central	Higher Central	Upper End
Climate	'2080s'	South West		30%	40%	85%
Change	Implications for the site	Climate change is expected to at the site, with the plus 80% within the extent of 1 in 1,000	limate chang			



Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

	Bedrock Geology	The majority of the site is underlain Limestone Member, a series of int eastern portion of the site is under Mudstone Formation. A band of W Mudstone is located at the western	erbedded Limes lain by Blue Lias estbury Formati	stone and Mudstone. The s Formation and Charmouth on and Cotham Member
	Superficial Geology	A band of alluvial silt, clay, sand a of the site, and corresponds with the		
	Soils	permeable, slig ally wet.	htly acid but base-rich loamy	
Requirement for drainage control and	SuDS	 As a large, relatively undeveloped site, opportunities should be take to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits, such as biodiversity, recreation and water resource education, through integration with areas of greenspace. All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques. Conveyance features should be designed above ground and follow natural flow paths where possible Due to the mixed geologies on the site, with variable permeability, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. Detention and conveyance features will be appropriate on the site. However, attenuation features must be located outside areas of flur flood risk. 		
impact mitigation	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not located within a des	singated Source	Protection Zone.
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historic landfill sites within the site boundary.		
	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	The site provides opportunities for storing flood water from the Marlees to reduce peak flows and delay the time in which they reach the Little A This can help to manage the risk of flooding from the Little Avon to downstream settlements, such as Berkeley.		hey reach the Little Avon.
		Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications
	Cumulative impacts of development	Ozleworth Brook – source to confluence with Little Avon	Medium	The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.
Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements				



Site code	PS47
Site name	Land west of Renishaw New Mills

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific flood risk assessment and surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- Other sources of flooding should also be considered as part of a site-specific flood risk assessment, including surface water and groundwater.
- The site is located within a catchment identified as moderately sensitive to the cumulative impact of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100 event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100 plus climate change fluvial
 and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes.
 Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface
 water flood risk.

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	WHI007 / WHI011
Site name	Land north of Grove End Farm, Whitminster

Site details	OS Grid reference	SO 78134 08067			
	Area 107.9 Ha				
	Current land use	Agricultural			
	Proposed site use	I Mixeo use – residential and commercial/embloyment			
	Flood risk vulnerability	More vulnerable (re	esidential) – Less vul	nerable (commercial	l/employment)
	Existing watercourses	The site is formed of two land parcels, a northern and southern parcel, which are located either side of Grove Lane. The Main River Frome flows in a north westerly direction, approximately 240m south of the site. An unnamed ordinary watercourse, which forms a tributary of the Moreton Valence Rhyne, flows in a north westerly direction through the northern land parcel, and forms the north eastern boundary of the site. This watercourse has a further small tributary that intersects the north east corner of the northern parcel of the site. The Stroudwater Canal is located approximately 105m south of the site. The canal in this location is currently undergoing restoration to allow navigation, which includes a new lock and roundabout crossing at A38, at the south west corner of the site. A new boat mooring basin and car park are also due to be built beyond the southern boundary of the site. There is also a small tributary of the River Frome that intersects the southern corner of the southern site parcel.			
Sources of flood risk	Flood history	The EA Recorded Flood Outlines dataset shows that the south west corner of the southern land parcel was affected by flooding during the July 1968 flood event. The extent of the July 2007 flood event reached the south western boundary of the southern land parcel. The Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) for the Stroudwater Canal development (Katherine Colby Hydrologists Limited, 2018) identifies that the M5 Stroudwater depot, located adjacent to the A419 beyond the south east corner of the site, has previously flooded from surface water flow paths. A cluster of flood incidents are recorded beyond the western boundary of the site. However, it should be noted that these are postcode-scale incidents, which have been plotted at the centre of the postcode area, and therefore the actual location affected may differ. The following flood incidents are recorded: • 02/01/2014, 27/01/2016: GL2 7LU – reported sewer flooding caused internal and external flooding. • 21/11/2016, 10/03/2018, 31/03/2018, 02/04/2018: GL2 7LU – reported sewer flooding caused wighway flooding. • 06/11/1999, 13/12/1999: GL2 7NT - reported sewer flooding caused highway flooding. • 02/02/2002: GL2 7PB – reported sewer flooding caused external			July 1968 flood south western al development the M5 Stroudwater corner of the site, in boundary of the cale incidents, and therefore the er flooding caused GL2 7LU — Ir flooding caused
		Proportion of site at risk in Flood Zones Proportion of Flood Zone 3b Flood Zone 3a Flood Zone 2			
	Fluvial		Flood Zone 3b 4% AEP (1 in 25)	Flood Zone 3a 1% AEP (1 in 100)	Flood Zone 2 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)
			0%	0%	1%



Site code		WHI007 / WHI011		
Site name		Land north of Grove End Farm, Whitminster		
		Available modelled data: The southern site parcel is covered by the Environment Agency River Frome 1D-2D ESTRY-TUFLOW detailed hydraulic model, which was prepared in 2008. The site does not benefit from flood defence, and therefore the undefended scenario is assessed here. Within the vicinity of the site, Flood Zone 2 is based on the recorded extents of the July 1968 and July 2007 flood events, rather than the 0.1% AEP modelled flood extent. The risk of flooding from the ordinary watercourse tributary of the Moreton Valence Rhyne and the River Frome tributary in the southern land parcel is not covered by a detailed hydraulic model. For the purpose of the SFRA assessment, the RoFSW mapping has been used as a proxy for fluvial flood risk from these watercourses. This assessment suggests that there is likely to be a margin of floodplain adjacent to the watercourses and this should be defined in higher resolution when more detailed site proposals are prepared. A 1D-2D model (ESTRY-TUFLOW) has been developed to support restoration of the Stroudwater Canal (Katherine Colby Hydrologists, 2018). This model was not available to assess as part of the Level 2 SFRA, but should be used to inform subsequent site-specific FRAs. Flood characteristics: The majority of the site is at very low risk of fluvial flooding from Main Rivers, and is located within Flood Zone 1. The south west boundary of the southern parcel is located within Flood Zone 2. It should be noted that the modelled 0.1% AEP fluvial event on the River Frome does not enter the site boundary. However, within the vicinity of the site, Flood Zone 2 is based on the historic extent of the July 1968 and July 2007 flood events. It is likely that there is some marginal flooding adjacent to the watercourses in the northern site parcel, and in the south east corner of the southern land parcel.		
		Prop 3.3% AEP (1 in 30)	oortion of site at risk (RoF 1% AEP (1 in 100)	SW) 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000)
		3% AEI (1 III 30)	6%	15%
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths: Areas of the site are at high risk of surface water flooding. The south west corner and boundary of the site is at risk of flooding during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event, with ponding predicted to occur against the roundabout on A38 Clay Pits Hill and against the A419. The area of ponding extends along the south western boundary of the site during a 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall event. Additional isolated areas of ponding are also predicted to form within the southern land parcel during a 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event. A large surface water flow path flows north westwards through the northern land parcel, and along the north eastern boundary of the site during the 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) rainfall event. The RoFSW mapping coincides with the fluvial flood risk associated with the Moreton Valence Rhyne. During the 1% AEP (1 in 100) and 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall events, the extent of flooding increases. Additional surface water flow paths form in the south east and south wes corners of the northern parcel during the 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) rainfall event flowing into the ordinary watercourse tributary.		
		Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding Map class (risk of groundwater emergence)		
	Groundwater	ASTGWF - Category 2 >=25% <50%	ASTGWF - Category 3 >=50% <75%	ASTGWF - Category 4 >=75%
		45%	0%	1%



Site name Land north of Grove End Farm, Whitminster			
<25% likelihood of groundwater emergence, and is therefore identified risk. The southern land parcel is located within a 1km² grid square with a 25 likelihood of groundwater emergence, and borders a grid square with	The southern land parcel is located within a 1km² grid square with a 25 – 50% a likelihood of groundwater emergence, and borders a grid square with a >75% likelihood of groundwater emergence. It is therefore identified as at moderate-		
Reservoir The site is not at risk of reservoir flooding.			
Canal Slopes away from the canal, and therefore the risk of flooding to the Stroudwater Canal is considered to be low. However, the residual risk of to the site, in the event of a breach or overtopping on the canal sussessed in further detail within a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.	The Stroudwater Canal is located approximately 105m south of the site. The site slopes away from the canal, and therefore the risk of flooding to the site from Stroudwater Canal is considered to be low. However, the residual risk of flooding to the site, in the event of a breach or overtopping on the canal should be assessed in further detail within a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment. The latest restoration plans for the canal should also be taken into account.		
Defences Defence Type Standard of Protection Con-	ndition		
There are no defences within, or within close proximity of, the site bour			
Flood risk management infrastructure Residual risk	ckage? cause flooding to the north west corner of the		
Impounded water body failure? The site is not at risk of flooding, in to failure?	the event		
Breach Zone			
Defence breach / overtopping? There are no defences which pose a risk to the site, in the event of b overtopping.			
Flood warning The southern land parcel is located within the Environment Agence Frome and Cam Flood Alert Area. It is not located within any Flood Areas.			
Emergency planning Access and egress Grove Lane is located within Flood Zone 1, and is therefore at very log flood risk. Adjacent to the site. the A38 is located within Flood Zone 1, low risk of fluvial flooding. However, south west of the site, fluvial flooding the 1% AEP (1 in 100) and 0.1% A 1,000) fluvial event. Therefore, southbound access to the site is like affected during a fluvial flood event. Grove Lane is at very low risk of surface water flooding, with one small area of ponding predicted to form on the road during the 0.1% AEP (1 event. Surface water flooding is predicted to affect the A38 during the 3	The site may be accessed via A38 at the west of the site, or via Grove Lane. Grove Lane is located within Flood Zone 1, and is therefore at very low fluvial flood risk. Adjacent to the site. the A38 is located within Flood Zone 1, and is at low risk of fluvial flooding. However, south west of the site, fluvial flooding is expected to affect the road during the 1% AEP (1 in 100) and 0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000) fluvial event. Therefore, southbound access to the site is likely to be affected during a fluvial flood event. Grove Lane is at very low risk of surface water flooding, with one small, isolated area of ponding predicted to form on the road during the 0.1% AEP (1in 1,000) event. Surface water flooding is predicted to affect the A38 during the 3.3% AEP (1 in 30) and greater rainfall events, particularly at the north west corner of the		
Climate Climate change River Basin District Central Higher Central	Upper End		
Change allowances for '2080s' Severn 25% 35%	70%		



Site code	WHI007 / WHI011
Site name	Land north of Grove End Farm, Whitminster

	Implications for the site	Modelling shows that the extents of Flood Zone 3a + 35% CC and + 70% CC on the River Frome extend beyond that of Flood Zone 3a, but do not extend beyond Flood Zone 2, or enter the site. Therefore, climate change is not predicted to impact the proposed site.			
	Bedrock Geology	The site is underlain by Lias Formation Mudstone.			
	Superficial Geology	The southern parcel is overlain by river terrace deposits of sand and gravel.			
	Soils	Lime-rich loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage.			
Requirement for drainage control and impact mitigation	SuDS	 As a large, relatively undeveloped site, opportunities should be taken to incorporate above ground SuDS features, which provide multiple benefits. A high-level assessment of SuDS suitability carried out as part of the Level 1 SFRA suggests that the site is best suited to conveyance features, such as swales and rills, or detention features, such as ponds and wetlands. Attenuation features must be located outside areas of fluvial flood risk. The site geology is impermeable in nature, therefore there is likely to be limited potential for discharge of surface water by infiltration. There may be potential for shallow infiltration methods within the permeable river terrace deposits in the southern parcel. However, the potential for infiltration should be investigated within site-specific infiltration testing. 			
	Groundwater Source Protection Zone	The site is not within a groundwater Source Protection Zone.			
	Historic Landfill Site	There are no historical landfill sites within the proposed boundary.			
fi b	Opportunities for flood risk betterment	Development should seek to strictly limit the rate and volumes of surface water leaving the site, to help to reduce and delay the timing of flows entering the River Frome, Moreton Valence Rhyne and Epney Rhyne.			
	Cumulative impacts of development	Water Framework Directive Catchment	Sensitivity to cumulative impacts	Implications	
		Epney Rhyne – source to confluence with River Severn Estuary	High	Assessments performed for FRA should	
		Frome – Ebley Mill to confluence with River Severn	High	address potential catchment scale implications of additional volumes of runoff generated by development	
Sequential Test and Exception Test requirements					

Stroud District Council

DRAFT FINAL - Level 2 SFRA Detailed Site Summary Tables



Site code	WHI007 / WHI011
Site name	Land north of Grove End Farm, Whitminster

The Sequential Test must be satisfied. Only once the Sequential Test is satisfied should the Exception Test be applied. It is anticipated that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1. For this site, the Exception Test must be satisfied:

- If More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure is located in FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change.
- If Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.

If Essential Infrastructure is located in Flood Zone 3b then it must be demonstrated that the exception test is satisfied. Development will not be permitted in the following scenarios:

- Highly Vulnerable development within FZ3a or FZ3a plus climate change and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable development within FZ3b.

Recommendations for requirements of site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, including guidance for developers

Flood risk assessment:

- Consultation with the Local Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- A site-specific flood risk assessment will be required because the site is within Flood Zone 2 and 3 and at risk from sources of flooding other than rivers and the sea. Government guidance on flood risk assessments must be followed (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/floodrisk-assessment-for-planning-applications).
- The impact of the development on flood risk from all sources both on and off-site must be considered and modelled where appropriate. It is recommended that a detailed hydraulic model of the Moreton Valence Rhyne tributary and River Frome tributary ordinary watercourses are developed, to accurately understand risk to the site.
- Climate change should be assessed using recommended climate change allowances at the time of the assessment (https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessmentsclimate-change-allowances) for the type of development and level of risk. The current allowances were published in February 2016 but may be subject to change in the future.
- Detailed modelling will be required to confirm Flood Zone and climate change extents for the Moreton Valence Rhyne tributary and River Frome tributary (see 'Available modelled data').
 The Environment Agency and LLFA should be consulted to obtain the latest hydraulic modelling information for the site at the time of the flood risk assessment. They will advise as to whether existing detailed models need to be updated.
- Blockage modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with potential blockage of the culvert on the Moreton Valence Rhyne tributary below the A38.
- Modelling should be conducted to assess the residual risk associated with breach or overtopping of the Stroudwater Canal.
- The ongoing restoration of Stroudwater Canal must be taken into account, and the latest available modelling used to inform site-specific FRAs. At the time of writing, this is the 1D-2D model (ESTRY-TUFLOW) developed by Katherine Colby Hydrologists (2018).
- The site is located within a catchment identified as highly sensitive to the cumulative impact
 of development. The effects which development of the site may have on flood risk within the
 catchment will need to be considered within a site-specific flood risk assessment. The FRA
 should include consideration of effects on potential sensitive receptors off-site and if
 necessary, include additional mitigation, so there are no adverse cumulative effects
- Appropriate storage of surface water runoff will need to be provided, and assessments should
 identify opportunities to provide off-site betterment, to help offset the cumulative impact of
 development. For example, this may include contribution to the delivery of schemes within
 the catchment, such as flood alleviation schemes, Natural Flood Management, SuDS retrofit
 or river restoration.

Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- A site-specific surface water drainage strategy will be required.
- The site should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from surface water flow routes and the floodplains of the River Frome and Moreton

Recommendations for Local Plan policy



Site code	WHI007 / WHI011
Site name	Land north of Grove End Farm, Whitminster

Valence Rhyne tributary (ordinary watercourse), preserving these areas as green infrastructure, where possible.

- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site and should seek to reduce the levels of flood risk downstream.
- Consultation with Gloucestershire County Council as Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and the Stroud District Council Drainage Engineer on surface water drainage of the site and potential SuDS features should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk both on and offsite, for example by reducing volume and rate of runoff and creating space for flooding.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- The site layout and drainage design must ensure that surface water flows resulting from rainfall in excess of a 1 in 100-year event are managed via exceedance routes that minimise the risks to people and property.
- Safe access and egress should be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.