Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:

Robert Hitchins Ltd

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

Paragraph [　　　]   Policy [CP13]   Policies Map [　　　]

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :

4.(1) Legally compliant   Yes [√]   No [　]

4.(2) Sound   Yes [　]   No [√]

4 (3) Complies with the

  Duty to co-operate   Yes [√]   No [　]

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

Policy CP13 Demand management and sustainable travel measures

It is noted that criterion i in respect of the location of all development cases states that such schemes will ***"be located where there are, or will be, at the time of development, choices in the mode of transport available and which minimise the distance people need to travel"*** (my emphasis)

For reasons set out elsewhere in our representations, it is considered that the allocation of land at Sharpness for development would not be located where there are, or will be, sufficient choices in the mode of transport available, to effectively minimise the distance people need to travel.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy PS36 Sharpness new settlement should be deleted for the reasons set out above. These points are covered in more detail in response to Policy PS36.

Land at Grove End Farm, Whitminster should replace the proposed new community at Sharpness.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

| | **No**, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) | √ | **Yes**, I wish to participate in hearing session(s) |

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Whilst this policy is supported our concerns are about the ability of the policy to be effectively implemented. Our objections go the heart of the Plan in terms of its strategy as we consider the Plan as drafted is unsound.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

9. Signature: ███████████████ Date: 20.07.2021