# Local Plan Review consultation

## Response from Hillesley & Tresham Parish Council

#### **KEY ISSUES**

(consultation papers list 40 issues and ask for our top 5 priorities)

## Interim top 5 issues for H&T:-

- 5. Improving the vitality and viability of our town centres within a changing environment of regional and on-line shopping.
- 12. Ensuring that new housing development is located in the right place, supported by the right services and infrastructure ....
- 13. Provide the right size of accommodation to meet local needs .....
- 25. Providing resilience to flood risk ....
- 27. Maintaining and improving the sustainability of our villages as places for living and working, by improving access to services, such as health and education, and jobs.

### **OUR TOWN CENTRES - Wotton-under-Edge**

(SDC ask whether we agree with their proposals), which for Wotton are:-

- Marketing the town for its tourism potential
- Finding a solution for lack of car and coach parking

#### Interim comments:

Agreement, adding that all efforts must be made to maintain the vitality of Wotton as a local shopping and service centre.

### A LOCAL NEED FOR HOUSING

(SDC ask what our local needs are and about the value of housing needs surveys)

### <u>Interim suggestion for H&T parish</u>:

- Smaller family homes; 2/3 beds
- Affordable housing; whilst current need might become satisfied within the next few years, needs should be kept under review
- Housing needs surveys should aim to gather information about both private and public dwelling needs. The results should be used as a guide and not set in stone.

#### **FUTURE GROWTH STRATEGY**

(SDC ask for comments on the current strategy and three alternatives, none of which would alter H&T's status)

### **Interim comments**:

Housing development must be supported by essential infrastructure and services at the time of building (not at a later date), whether existing in larger settlements (Options 1 & 2) or newly-provided for the purpose (Options 1, 2 & 4).

#### **OUR TOWNS AND VILLAGES**

(SDC ask for comments on the current hierarchy of settlements and whether settlement boundaries should be retained or their function altered somehow)

### **Interim comments:**

**Hierarchy**: H&T P.C. is happy for Hillesley to remain in the lowest tier

**Settlement boundaries**: Existing boundaries should be retained, possibly with greater flexibility as to the types of small development permissible outside, to meet local needs (e.g. work/live and small industrial units)

14th December 2017