

## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY

The proposal at Wisloe encompasses an area of approximately 78 hectares (193 acres) of land. It is located towards the south of Stroud District being 11.5km from M5 junction 14 and 10km from the border with South Gloucestershire. It has a total linear length of 2.04km of which 1.32km adjoins the A38.

The site is on the 20m contour. It is almost completely flat with virtually no discernible topographical variation. The site is bounded by the M5 motorway to the east and the A38 to the west. The Gloucester to Bristol rail line adjoins the eastern boundary at the southern half of the proposed site with the motorway raised on a significant embankment. The land identified is typical of the Severn Vale area in which the site lies consisting mainly of lowland plain, arable and improved pasture in good condition interspersed with a hedge and ditch structure with occasional mature trees. Wisloe itself consists of less than 20 homes widely dispersed around a small equestrian yard and former farm with a few isolated homes along the old Dursley Road. It is slightly removed from but fully integral part of the Slimbridge Parish.

The Issues and Options consultation conducted in 2017 did not include the site as a candidate for potential development consideration. It was therefore a shock to the community to see this introduced as a second, erroneous, 'single' site in the SDC Emerging Strategy document. The Ernest Cook Trust, who are promoting the site, carefully avoided any initial public scrutiny by submitting the proposal after the 2017 consultation had closed. This site has therefore not been tested against public opinion and, despite advice to the contrary and an attempt to remove this flawed proposal by Cllr Tomblin at the September 2018 Environment Committee, a guided vote issued by the ruling coalition ensured it's inappropriate progress into the Emerging Strategy.

Whilst from a commercial perspective the site may be an attractive, profitable and lucrative opportunity for the scheme promoters, it is damaging to a range of local Vale communities, land and landscape. The scheme would have a negative impact on fundamental components of the Emerging Strategy, create a dangerous and unsustainable imbalance towards the south of the District and introduce environmental harm that cannot be mitigated.

**Coalescence.** Wisloe is a very small, dispersed hamlet within and part of the parish of Slimbridge. It has evolved organically and forms the smallest of four rural settlements within the parish each with its own unique form and character. The existing Local Plan notes that protecting space between settlements has been an historic priority and policy ES7. Paragraph 6.43 notes that 'the principle pressure on the landscape arising from new development is erosion of the separate identity, character and functional amenity of settlements and their setting, and impacts on the open countryside'. The Wisloe proposal would coalesce these distinct communities including Cambridge and Gossington, into a single amorphous town and introduce significant harm into the surrounding countryside. It would have an unacceptable urbanising effect and separate identity would be lost against policies and objectives in the Local Plan.

## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY

The degree of separation proposed in the outline development document varies but is as low as the width of a road in large part. Policy ES13. Paragraph 6.64 states that 'It is important to protect the loss of open space where this would harm the character of a settlement or the visual quality of the locality'. The proximity and overbearing nature of development proposed would destroy historic and unique physical identity of the individual communities concerned. Any separation exists only in the mind of site promoters. On the ground, experience would be of one, large, unbroken urban estate structure spread incongruously in the midst of low lying, pastoral fields. Any perceived break in travel between communities would be removed and, when combined with the Emerging Strategy proposals for Cam, continual built form of over 7km would exist from the Cotswold edge adjoining Dursley to the Severn Vale. The proposal would create over three times the number of houses in the existing communities of Slimbridge Parish and produce a large, alien, dominating single urban estate within an otherwise exclusively rural and traditional setting. The SDC landscape sensitivity assessment carried out by White Consultants in 2017 surveyed all fields surrounding Slimbridge village to test the degree to which harm would be caused by the introduction of residential and employment development. Wisloe was, perversely, excluded from these tests but the fields in question directly adjoin the proposal site and it is reasonable to directly extrapolate from these findings. Amongst a range of damaging impacts reported, erosion of separation between the individual communities was a common theme and extension of housing along the A38 would be highly visible and damaging. This independent review concluded that in general residential and employment uses were reported as inappropriate and undesirable.

**Agricultural Land Classification.** Quality of land has a significant bearing on where development should take place. Local planning authorities have an obligation to protect Best and Most Versatile (BMV) land and to target brownfield or lower grade land for development. BMV land is designated as Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) 1, 2 and 3a. Land in the Stroud District outside of urban form is almost entirely grade 3b or lower and therefore available for development consideration. The land at Wisloe represents the largest and one of only two significant areas of grade 2 land in the District. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) paragraph 170 states: 'Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by protecting and enhancing valued landscapes, sites of biodiversity or geological value and soils in a manner commensurate with their statutory status. NPPF paragraph 171 states 'Plans should distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites and allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value. The NPPF also notes that 'areas of poorer quality land should be preferred to those of higher quality'. In a changing world where security of food supply could be crucial and food miles become increasingly important for environmental protection, loss of high-grade land such as that at Wisloe should not be permitted as a range of alternative, sustainable solutions exist.

## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY

Series of views showing high quality grade 2 Agricultural Land proposed for development at Wisloe.



# WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY





# WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY



## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY

**Landscape and AONB.** The Cotswolds AONB is immediately to the east and south-east of Wisloe. While the site proposed is not within its boundary, areas that impact upon the AONB must comply with and pass strict tests to gauge harm to this critical local asset. The AONB boundary lies only just over 1 mile away at its nearest point and as a result, planning tests present a significant challenge. Organic historical development in The Severn Vale along the A38 corridor has tended to evolve in an approximately east/west orientation along minor roads adjoining the northeast, southwest A38. This historical linear development sits comfortably within the folds of landscape, does not introduce a significant bulk of built presence on the main road and blends with the gentle, meandering contours of the land offering a largely pleasing, dispersed and visually permeable built form. The proposal at Wisloe however, runs northeast, southwest alongside the A38 and grows to a large, intrusive and out of character amorphous block. It conflicts with the traditional linear pattern of the other Slimbridge communities as noted in the 2017 landscape sensitivity assessment. This offers a jarring, incongruous intrusion into a landscape largely devoid of built form and introduces an unbroken urban estate orientated counter to prevailing housing. The impact on views from the AONB are particularly pronounced. The current unbroken vista from the Cotswold escarpment across green fields and hedgerows with accompanying sinuous traditional housing draws the eye westwards towards The River Severn and Forest of Dean beyond. Introduction of block development running across this view would dominate the Vale scenery and scar the rural landscape. The Cotswold Conservation Board actively seeks to manage development within its own borders, but also on significant adjoining sites. Its statutory duty is to “conserve and enhance”. The practical, amenity and financial importance of the AONB to Stroud District cannot be overstated. Harm of the scale proposed in these damaging proposals should not be permitted as any benefits do not outweigh the overall permanent harm.

**Series of views from Coaley Peak with River Severn in background – Part of proposed development site runs across centre of picture.**





# WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY



## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY



**Sharpness and building a market.** Development promoted at Sharpness currently provides a foundation for the Emerging Strategy. Nearly half of the units required are proposed in this location with the potential to deliver further growth in the future. As there is currently limited, modern residential form in this area, it will be necessary to grow a market for the housing and businesses proposed. Wisloe is less than 5 miles from this essential plan component and only 2.5 miles from the Berkeley Heath A38 junction giving access to Sharpness. The Issues and Options consultation promoted a 'single site' option as a way of addressing housing need. In order to ensure a customer base can be developed for this critical component of the current plans it would be important to maintain a single channel for buyers and businesses looking to locate in the southern part of the Stroud district. Wisloe would provide a similar offering within the same catchment area and on this basis alone would threaten the viability and very foundation of a sustainable future housing strategy. It should be noted that the Sharpness proposal is opposed by many residents and local councils. The scale of development is a particular concern and commitments to provide appropriate and essential infrastructure have not been fully explored or guaranteed.

**Infrastructure and impact of imbalance.** Infrastructure will be critical to the success of the updated Local Plan and yet, the Emerging Strategy proposes locating nearly 80% of new housing from Cam southwards. This offers a completely unbalanced structure and would impose significant strain particularly on M5 junction 14. Whilst investment is planned at this critical junction development proposals already well progressed at Buckover and the Sharpness site, if it goes ahead, will more than absorb any improvements. Further load excerpted by inappropriate development at Wisloe would overload transport links and make plans for all development in the south of the district unviable and unsustainable.



## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY

**Conservation.** The Severn Estuary and Upper Seeven Estuary are designated as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), Special Protection Area (SPA), Special Area for Conservation (SAC) and RAMSAR site. The Severn carries these national and international designations for a wide range of reasons to protect the rare and special habitat, features and wildlife. This protection extends beyond the immediate estuary into the surrounding environs. Rare breeding and migrating birds are a particular feature and many of these internationally recognised, threatened species utilise the lowland plain, Severn Vale grazing marshland and fields to roost, rest, breed and feed. Snipe, Redshank, Lapwing and Curlew all feature on this list and are threatened to a greater or lesser extent. The International Union for Conservation of Nature produces the universally accepted Red Data list of highly threatened species. Lapwing and Curlew in particular face a high level of current threat. They are endangered at a national and international level and are on the Red Data list. Many of the fields proposed in this development are extensively utilised by these species as a high tide roosting, resting and feeding site. These species require wide, open areas where they are secure from predation and destruction of this valuable safe and secure space would require equal mitigation measures in order to comply with recognised conservation objectives.

**Series of views showing Curlew feeding and resting in environs of proposed development site – M5 Motorway adjoining south of proposed development site on raised causeway in background – photos taken December 2018 & January 2019.**



# WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY



# WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY





## WISLOE REPORT SUMMARY

**Noise and pollution.** The identified Wisloe sites are sandwiched between the railway and the M5 to the east and A38 to the west. This infrastructure is inherently noisy and, particularly but not exclusively in the case of the motorway, highly toxic. The motorway is on a raised embankment in the south of the site below the A4135 road and, as a consequence, the noise problem is exacerbated. The acoustic bunds and barriers required to bring any development within legal minimum standards would present a significant challenge and, in the case of the southern section where the motorway is raised from the surrounding topography by 10 meters or so, any barrier would be impractical in real terms. There are no feasible measures available that could protect any future residents from the toxic fumes emanating from the nearby transport infrastructure.

**Archaeology.** The A38 corridor is a recognised Roman road and its environs are known to contain important settlements. Contemporary archaeological surveys carried out on fields in the southern portion of the proposed site reveal a rich seam of ancient materials. The presence of a high-grade Roman settlement of some standing near to the railway line is suspected and any proposal associated with the area would require examination by Heritage England. It would be anticipated that scheduled ancient monument status would follow.

**Flooding.** To a greater or lesser degree, the whole of the Severn Vale is subject to flooding. The River Severn poses a recognised threat in terms of tidal flooding but its real influence is on the way fluvial flows are managed. The Slimbridge Parish has suffered more than most from regular flooding incidents. The existing residential communities exist by virtue of a drainage system that has become increasingly overloaded. Years of neglect, inappropriate unauthorised connection and development has added to the intrinsic difficulty of draining a low lying, naturally semi wet landscape and river valley floodplain. Severn Trent Water, under pressure from local politicians and the community, recently undertook major remedial action which, it is hoped, will help to alleviate some of the problems that have been part of life for many residents. The £2 million scheme undertook to grout existing damaged and leaking pipework and replace where necessary in order to prolong the life of the substandard system. This provides a reduction in risk at best. It has been recognised during the research and modelling work carried out by Severn Trent that the nature of topography and location limit the ability of any realistic complete flood prevention solution. The whole area sits within a minor aquifer with high permeability. Tidal blockage limits the ability to artificially channel water flows away from residential form. This combination of factors raises concerns that interrupting the water retention capacity of an area significantly larger the existing communities would have a severe adverse effect. Developers would claim an onsite SUDS system could manage flows from new properties they propose but the impact of removing this 200 acre flooding buffer to existing residences could not be demonstrated.

A small area of the proposed site north of the A4135 in and around the immediate environs of the equestrian centre and former farm may offer some potential for limited growth on previously developed land. However, the wider site for the reasons described in this document, should be protected and allowed to continue in its largely agricultural form. Independent professional opinion finds against this site. Local people agree and are vehemently opposed to the concept. It should be removed from further consideration.