Part B - Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:					
Robert Hitchins Ltd					
3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?					
Paragraph Policy	EI12	Policies Map		1	
. a.a.g.ap.					
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :					
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes	√	No		
4.(2) Sound	Yes		No	√	
4 (3) Complies with the					
Duty to co-operate	Yes	√	No		
Please tick as appropriate					
5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or					
is unsound or fails to comply with possible.	the duty to	co-operate. Please	be as pr	ecise as	
	omplianco or	coundness of the L	ocal Pla	n or its	
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your					
comments.					
Policy EI12 Promoting transport choice and accessibility					

It is noted that in respect of the location of development, paragraph 1, sentence 3 states "Development should be located in areas which are <u>already well served by public transport</u> and have access to a range of local facilities within walking and cycling distance" (my

emphasis)

2

For reasons set out elsewhere in our representations, it is considered that the allocation land at Sharpness for development would not be in a location which is already well serve public transport.	
(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)	
6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or sound matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will not of say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound the will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.	ness need nd.
Policy PS36 Sharpness new settlement should be deleted for the reasons set out above. T points are covered in more detail in response to Policy PS36.	hese
Land at Grove End Farm, Whitminster should replace the proposed new community Sharpness.	ty at
(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if neces	sary)
Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evide and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the	r
After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by th Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.	e
7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?	it

 \mathbf{No} , I do not wish to

hearing session(s)

participate in

Yes, I wish to participate in

hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Whilst this policy is supported our concerns are about the ability of the policy to be effectively implemented. Our objections go the heart of the Plan in terms of its strategy as we consider the Plan as drafted is unsound.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

9. Signature: Date: 20.07.2021