Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation:						
3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?						
Paragraph Policy	PS37	Policies Ma	0			
4. Do you consider the Local Plan is :						
4.(1) Legally compliant	Yes		No	x		
4.(2) Sound	Yes		No			
4 (3) Complies with the				Х		
Duty to co-operate	Yes		No			

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

The proposed number of houses in the local plan are excessive and Wisloe is not needed

The site has been incorrectly assessed using an agricultural land classification of 3b. This is based on the proposers flawed report and the land is still classified as Grade2 by Natural England.

As experienced in December 2020, Slimbridge and Cambridge are at risk from surface water flooding. Gloucestershire County Council have confirmed that these weather events are likely to occur at least every 5 years. The surface water flooding eminates from the Wisloe site and building 1500 homes is irresponsible as

it will significantly increase the risks. The proposed solutions are not feasible and any ponds would need to be so big as to make the site unviable.
The proposal for a 1500 home garden village is not viable as demonstrated in the failed Homes England bid. The infrastructure requirements of noise mitigation, bridges to the railway station and Cam and high pressure gas pipeline have not been correctly taken into account.
The idea that the public transport potential makes the site sustainable is laughable. Only 1% of residents use railways and there is no capacity to increase the service given the conflict with other rail services. At best there is a plan to increase usage by 30% to 1.3% of residents.
(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

PS37 needs to be removed from the local plan					
(Continue o	n a separate sh	eet /expand box if necessary)			
Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions. After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.					
7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?					
No, I do not wish to		Yes , I wish to			
x participate in		participate in			
hearing session(s)		hearing session(s)			
Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.					
8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:					

